

ADVANCE ALABAMA

The Alabama Consolidated Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy

Report on an Economic Benchmarking System for Alabama

December 31, 2010

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

ADVANCE ALABAMA

The Alabama Consolidated Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy

Report on an Economic Benchmarking System for Alabama

December 31, 2010

Introduction.....	3
Purpose.....	3
Summary of Indicators.....	4
Summary of Regions.....	5
Economy.....	7
Population.....	24
Geography.....	45
Workforce Development and Use.....	59
Transportation Access.....	83
Resources (Support Assets).....	91
Environment.....	104

* * * * *

This report is designed as a guide to regional planners engaged in the preparation of comprehensive economic development strategies. Much of the data contained herein is due for update and is awaiting further release of information contained in Census 2010.

Introduction

This report is a summary of efforts to develop system of ongoing economic benchmarks for the Regional Councils of Alabama. This system of benchmarks was explored in concert with the development and preparation of a Consolidated Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy for the Alabama Association of Regional Councils and the twelve Regional Councils that serve the communities of the State of Alabama. It is associated with a separate work currently under preparation entitled “*Alabama in the Global Economy: Working Toward a Sustainable Future*” by the Center for Business and Economic Affairs of the University of Alabama. It is prepared with the assistance of the United States Economic Development Administration in partnership with the Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs. The task of coordinating the preparation of this report was performed by the Planning Task Force of the Alabama Association of Regional Councils with the assistance of Delaney Associates, Inc. and Farmer Associates.

Purpose

In the course of preparing the Consolidated Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy of 2007, it was realized by those involved that there was little consistency among the Regions of Alabama in measurement of key economic indicators. This led to difficulty in comparing the economic situation for the various areas of the State to each other and to State as a whole. The Regional Councils decided to work together through the AARC Planning Task Force to develop a system of relevant and meaningful indicators to measure the economic situation of the regions and the counties of the State and the success of regional economic development efforts primarily those related to the respective regional comprehensive economic development strategies as well as the respective regions of the Alabama Rural Action Commission (At the time of preparation of this report, the future configuration of the Alabama Rural Action Commission is understood to be under review).

The indicators are designed to measure both project accomplishment and area economic status. They are designed to supplement and enhance the background data requirements of EDA’s process for developing a comprehensive economic development strategy (CEDS). For reference purposes, the minimum background data requirement for the CEDS process includes a discussion of the economy, population, geography, workforce development and use, transportation access, resources, and environment. As such, although others may have interest in this report and the indicators represented herein, the purpose of this document is to serve as a guide to Regional Council staff in the performance of their work in preparation of their respective regional strategies.

The indicators were originally intended to be designed for disaggregation and reporting statewide and for counties, Regional Council areas, Rural Action Commission areas, Rural Planning Organization areas, and metropolitan areas. Other efforts at such disaggregation, re-aggregation and reporting of economic data have, however, been noted and are better referenced than copied. These efforts primarily include: 1) choosealabama.net which is a collaboration between Auburn University at Montgomery, The University of Alabama and the Alabama Department of Finance; and 2) statsamerica.org which is a service of the Indiana Business Research Center at Indiana University's Kelley School of Business. Choosealabama.net contains county and community

data profiles and an economic impact estimator whereas stasamerica.org includes data profiles for the entire United States as well as measurement tools for innovation and distress.

Summary of Indicators

The selection of economic indicators was fundamentally intended to serve as a guide in the preparation of a Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy. Therefore, the selection of indicators is in furtherance of the regulations for the development of a CEDS, in particular, the following section:

§ 303.7 (b)(1) A background of the economic development situation of the Region with a discussion of the economy, population, geography, workforce development and use, transportation access, resources, environment, and other pertinent information.

The Planning Task Force discussed and considered a multitude of data points and indicators from a wide variety of sources. They also considered the relative merits and reliability of the various sources. Since there are so many sources and forms of data, it was determined that a short list of indicators would need to be made in order to make the indicators, as a group, both manageable and comprehensible. A list of indicators was provided to an Advisory Committee in the form of a survey. The Advisory Committee was made up of representatives from a broad selection of State Agencies. Following a review of the results of the survey, a subcommittee was appointed to prepare a final list of indicators and to catalog a description of the data points including sources and recommended methods of using the data. The final list of indicators is as follows. Subsequent pages include the indicator descriptions along with a representation of actual data.

Economy

- Personal Income
- Per Capita Personal Income
- Total Average Compensation per Job

Population

- Population Growth
- Population by Age
- Migration

Geography

- Total Land Area
- Population Density
- Census Designated Statistical Areas

Workforce Development and Use

- Educational Attainment
- Employment by Industry
- Unemployment

Transportation Access

- Surface Transportation
- Air Transportation
- Rail and Water Access

Resources (Support Assets)

- Industrial Parks and Sites
- Broadband Accessibility
- Educational and R&D Institutions

Environment

- Air quality: Air Pollution Non-Attainment
- Water Quality: Streams listed on 303(d) list
- Land Development and Land Cover

Summary of Regions

The data referred to herein is mostly presented by region and by county. There are twelve Regional Councils within the State of Alabama. The individual Regional Councils are referred to by a number of different names, such as regional commission, regional planning commission, regional planning and development commission, or regional council of governments. The generic term “Regional Council” is used to refer to all twelve. The current twelve Regional Councils and the counties they serve are as follows:

Region 1 (NACOLG). The Northwest Alabama Regional Council of Governments serves five counties in northwest Alabama. These counties are Colbert, Franklin, Lauderdale, Marion and Winston counties.

NACOLG’s offices are located in Muscle Shoals. (256-389-0500 / www.nacolg.com)

Region 2 (WARC). The West Alabama Regional Commission serves seven counties in west central Alabama. These counties are Bibb, Fayette, Greene, Hale, Lamar, Pickens and Tuscaloosa counties.

WARC’s offices are located in Northport. (205-333-2990 / www.warc.info)

Region 3 (RPCGB). The Regional Planning Commission of Greater Birmingham serves six counties in central Alabama. These counties are Blount, Chilton, Jefferson, Shelby, St. Clair and Walker counties.

RPCGB’s offices are located in Birmingham. (205-251-8139 / www.rpcgb.org)

Region 4 (EARPDC). The East Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission serves ten counties in east central Alabama. These counties are Calhoun, Chambers, Cherokee, Clay, Cleburne, Coosa, Etowah, Randolph, Talladega and Tallapoosa counties.

EARPDC’s offices are located in Anniston. (205-237-6741 / www.earpdc.org)

Region 5 (SCADC). The South Central Alabama Development Commission serves six counties in south central and southeast Alabama. These counties are Bullock, Butler, Crenshaw, Lowndes, Macon and Pike counties.

SCADC’s offices are located in Montgomery. (334-244-6903 / www.scadc.al.us)

Region 6 (ATRC). The Alabama-Tombigbee Regional Commission serves ten counties in west central Alabama. These counties are Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington and Wilcox counties.

ATRC's offices are located in Camden. (334-682-4234 / www.alarc.org)

Region 7 (SEARPDC). The Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission serves seven counties in southeast Alabama. These counties are Barbour, Coffee, Covington, Dale, Geneva, Henry and Houston counties.

SEARPDC's offices are located in Dothan. (334-794-4093 / www.searpdc.org)

Region 8 (SARPC). The South Alabama Regional Planning Commission serves three counties in south coastal Alabama. These counties are Baldwin, Escambia and Mobile counties.

SARPC's offices are located in Mobile. (251-433-6541 / www.sarpc.org)

Region 9 (CARPDC). The Central Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission serves three counties in central Alabama. These counties are Autauga, Elmore and Montgomery counties.

CARPDC's offices are located in Montgomery. (334-262-4300 / www.carpdc.org)

Region 10 (LRCOG). The Lee-Russell Council of Governments serves two counties in east central Alabama. These counties are Lee and Russell counties.

LRCOG's offices are located in Auburn. (334-749-5264 / www.lrcog.com)

Region 11 (NARCOG). The North Central Alabama Regional Council of Governments serves three counties in north central Alabama. These counties are Cullman, Lawrence and Morgan counties.

NARCOG's offices are located in Decatur. (256-355-4515 / www.narcog.org)

Region 12 (TARCOG). The Top of Alabama Regional Council of Governments serves five counties in northeast Alabama. These counties are DeKalb, Jackson, Limestone, Madison and Marshall counties.

TARCOG's offices are located in Huntsville. (256-830-0818 / www.tarcog.org)

* * * * *

CEDS Indicators: Economy

Indicator:	Personal Income
Measure:	BEA-REIS Total personal income (CA04) for county/region/state
Baseline:	2007 County, State, and United States estimates
Data source:	Bureau of Economic Analysis: Regional Economic Information System (REIS) DVD, Table CA05N. To order a free copy of the REIS DVD-ROM, call 1-800-704-0415 (outside the United States, call 202-606-9666). See also, http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?selTable=CA04
Frequency of review:	Annual

Personal income is a measure of the total wealth available to purchase goods and services in a local economy. For the purposes of the statewide Strategic Plan, an analysis and benchmarking of total personal income is proposed. For larger geographic areas, such as states and large regions, detailed analysis of the components of personal income has little analytical value. However, for an understanding of local economic dynamics of counties and economic regions, a more detailed understanding of the structure of total personal income is also available. Personal income is available for each year from 1969 but is not adjusted for inflation.

According to the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA),

“Personal Income is the income that is received by all persons from all sources. It is calculated as the sum of wage and salary disbursements, supplements to wages and salaries, proprietors' income with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments, rental income of persons with capital consumption adjustment, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and personal current transfer receipts, less contributions for government social insurance.


The personal income of an area is the income that is received by, or on behalf of, all the individuals who live in the area; therefore, the estimates of personal income are presented by the place of residence of the income recipients.”

Personal Income by Region

Region	Total Personal Income Per Region <i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>		10 Year Percent Change
	1997	2007	
Region 1	\$4,404,295	\$6,170,991	40.11%
Region 2	\$5,062,489	\$8,469,021	67.29%
Region 3	\$24,878,409	\$43,179,619	73.56%
Region 4	\$8,486,591	\$12,966,752	52.79%
Region 5	\$1,845,040	\$2,939,287	59.31%
Region 6	\$3,584,936	\$4,913,895	37.07%
Region 7	\$5,491,924	\$8,878,895	61.67%
Region 8	\$11,278,646	\$18,644,540	65.31%
Region 9	\$7,417,399	\$12,183,742	64.26%
Region 10	\$2,816,620	\$4,844,338	71.99%
Region 11	\$4,464,435	\$7,015,222	57.14%
Region 12	\$11,688,597	\$19,785,001	69.27%


Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009 and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010

Personal Income by Region, 2007



Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009 and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010

Percent Change in Personal Income Per Region, 1997 to 2007



Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009 and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010*

Personal Income by County
(in thousands of dollars)

Area	2007
United States	\$11,634,322,000
State of Alabama	\$149,991,303
Alabama Metropolitan	\$113,104,723
Alabama Nonmetropolitan	\$36,886,580
Autauga	\$1,491,240
Baldwin	\$6,014,709
Barbour	\$697,103
Bibb	\$503,029
Blount	\$1,389,131
Bullock	\$233,870
Butler	\$552,096
Calhoun	\$3,488,048
Chambers	\$882,107
Cherokee	\$619,831
Chilton	\$1,119,559
Choctaw	\$361,621
Clarke	\$712,607
Clay	\$359,931
Cleburne	\$394,668
Coffee	\$1,488,536
Colbert	\$1,497,263
Conecuh	\$324,998
Coosa	\$254,102
Covington	\$990,190
Crenshaw	\$408,051
Cullman	\$2,203,488
Dale	\$1,345,086
Dallas	\$1,126,366
DeKalb	\$1,717,758
Elmore	\$2,256,793
Escambia	\$949,327
Etowah	\$2,922,218
Fayette	\$425,584
Franklin	\$778,827
Geneva	\$681,690
Greene	\$253,629

Area	2007
Hale	\$409,555
Henry	\$428,397
Houston	\$3,247,893
Jackson	\$1,432,115
Jefferson	\$28,219,681
Lamar	\$350,956
Lauderdale	\$2,536,868
Lawrence	\$916,057
Lee	\$3,508,827
Limestone	\$2,165,248
Lowndes	\$305,600
Macon	\$486,490
Madison	\$11,954,985
Marengo	\$608,936
Marion	\$760,070
Marshall	\$2,514,895
Mobile	\$11,680,504
Monroe	\$580,205
Montgomery	\$8,435,709
Morgan	\$3,895,677
Perry	\$252,257
Pickens	\$515,905
Pike	\$953,180
Randolph	\$532,356
Russell	\$1,335,511
St. Clair	\$2,313,253
Shelby	\$8,126,306
Sumter	\$293,938
Talladega	\$2,372,685
Tallapoosa	\$1,140,806
Tuscaloosa	\$6,010,363
Walker	\$2,011,689
Washington	\$404,052
Wilcox	\$248,915
Winston	\$597,963


Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009*

Personal Income by Statistical Area
(in thousands of dollars)


Metropolitan Statistical Area	1997	2007	10 Year % Change
Anniston-Oxford	\$2,245,080	\$3,488,048	55.36%
Auburn-Opelika	\$1,933,768	\$3,508,827	81.45%
Birmingham-Hoover	\$25,189,006	\$43,682,648	73.42%
Decatur	\$3,033,527	\$4,811,734	58.62%
Dothan	\$2,597,628	\$4,357,980	67.77%
Florence-Muscle Shoals	\$2,846,912	\$4,034,131	41.70%
Gadsden	\$2,006,394	\$2,922,218	45.65%
Huntsville	\$7,997,034	\$14,120,233	76.57%
Mobile	\$7,686,392	\$11,680,504	51.96%
Montgomery	\$7,614,167	\$12,489,342	64.03%
Tuscaloosa	\$3,803,730	\$6,673,547	75.45%

Micropolitan Statistical Area	1997	2007	10 Year % Change
Albertville	\$1,600,678	\$2,514,895	57.11%
Alexander City	\$1,008,732	\$1,394,908	38.28%
Cullman	\$1,430,908	\$2,203,488	53.99%
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	\$2,963,499	\$6,014,709	102.96%
Enterprise-Ozark	\$1,764,297	\$2,833,622	60.61%
Eufaula	\$503,445	\$755,011	49.97%
Fort Payne	\$1,119,177	\$1,717,758	53.48%
Scottsboro	\$971,708	\$1,432,115	47.38%
Selma	\$803,184	\$1,126,366	40.24%
Talladega-Sylacauga	\$1,339,392	\$2,372,685	77.15%
Troy	\$540,191	\$953,180	76.45%
Tuskegee	\$347,190	\$486,490	40.12%
Valley	\$656,438	\$882,107	34.38%

Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009*



Percent Change in Personal Income Per Region, 1997 to 2007



PERSONAL INCOME 2007

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U. S. CENSUS BUREAU, CENSUS 2000, SPECIAL TABULATION

CEDS Indicators: Economy

Indicator:	Per Capita Personal Income
Measure:	BEA-REIS Per capita income (CA04) in dollars for county/region/state
Baseline:	2007 County, State, and United States estimates
Data source:	Bureau of Economic Analysis: Regional Economic Information System (REIS) DVD. To order a free copy of the REIS DVD-ROM, call 1-800-704-0415 (outside the United States, call 202-606-9666). See also, http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?selTable=CA04
Frequency of review:	Annual

Per Capita Personal Income is calculated as the personal income of the residents of a given area divided by the resident population of the area. In computing per capita personal income, BEA uses the Census Bureau's annual midyear population estimates. It is broadly representative of the amount of wealth within the local economy standardized by the number of people in the study area. Per capita income has its weaknesses as a measure, particularly in that it does not account for income distribution. However, as a benchmarking tool for comparing study areas, it is a straightforward and easily-compared estimate of wealth that accounts for population. Per capita personal income is available for each year from 1969 but is not adjusted for inflation.


Per Capita Income by Region

Region	Average Per Capita Income		10 Year Percent Change
	1997	2007	
Region 1	\$18,894	\$26,434	39.91%
Region 2	\$16,894	\$26,038	54.13%
Region 3	\$21,470	\$32,884	53.16%
Region 4	\$17,792	\$26,770	50.46%
Region 5	\$16,043	\$25,979	61.93%
Region 6	\$16,564	\$24,613	48.59%
Region 7	\$18,600	\$28,011	50.59%
Region 8	\$19,561	\$29,734	52.01%
Region 9	\$21,588	\$32,137	48.86%
Region 10	\$17,784	\$26,747	50.40%
Region 11	\$19,560	\$29,374	50.18%
Region 12	\$20,332	\$29,743	46.29%

Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009 and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010*

Notes:


1. Per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates. Estimates for 2000-2007 reflect population estimates available as of April 2009.
2. All state and local area dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).



Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009 and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010*

Notes:

1. Per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates. Estimates for 2000-2007 reflect population estimates available as of April 2009.
2. All state and local area dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).



Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009* and *South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010*

Per Capita Income by County

Area	2007
United States	\$38,615
State of Alabama	\$32,419
Alabama Metropolitan	\$34,300
Alabama Nonmetropolitan	\$27,754
Autauga	\$29,927
Baldwin	\$35,021
Barbour	\$23,663
Bibb	\$23,415
Blount	\$24,586
Bullock	\$21,430
Butler	\$27,363
Calhoun	\$30,907
Chambers	\$25,411
Cherokee	\$25,285
Chilton	\$26,532
Choctaw	\$25,526
Clarke	\$26,922
Clay	\$26,093
Cleburne	\$26,845
Coffee	\$31,838
Colbert	\$27,463
Conecuh	\$24,715
Coosa	\$23,445
Covington	\$26,804
Crenshaw	\$29,642
Cullman	\$27,404
Dale	\$27,938
Dallas	\$26,200
DeKalb	\$25,327
Elmore	\$29,173
Escambia	\$25,277
Etowah	\$28,349
Fayette	\$24,166
Franklin	\$25,640
Geneva	\$26,531
Greene	\$27,556

Area	2007
Hale	\$22,649
Henry	\$25,840
Houston	\$33,462
Jackson	\$27,051
Jefferson	\$42,834
Lamar	\$24,281
Lauderdale	\$28,669
Lawrence	\$26,781
Lee	\$26,883
Limestone	\$29,309
Lowndes	\$24,065
Macon	\$21,807
Madison	\$38,263
Marengo	\$28,686
Marion	\$25,708
Marshall	\$28,766
Mobile	\$28,905
Monroe	\$25,557
Montgomery	\$37,311
Morgan	\$33,938
Perry	\$23,494
Pickens	\$26,285
Pike	\$31,564
Randolph	\$23,777
Russell	\$26,611
St. Clair	\$29,643
Shelby	\$44,414
Sumter	\$22,043
Talladega	\$29,593
Tallapoosa	\$27,994
Tuscaloosa	\$33,916
Walker	\$29,295
Washington	\$23,483
Wilcox	\$19,504
Winston	\$24,690




Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009*

Per Capita Income by Statistical Area

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Per Capita Income		10 Year Percent Change
	1997	2007	
Anniston-Oxford	\$19,147	\$30,907	61.4%
Auburn-Opelika	\$18,144	\$26,883	48.2%
Birmingham-Hoover	\$24,445	\$39,401	61.2%
Decatur	\$21,220	\$32,295	52.2%
Dothan	\$20,284	\$31,277	54.2%
Florence-Muscle Shoals	\$20,142	\$28,209	40.1%
Gadsden	\$19,268	\$28,349	47.1%
Huntsville	\$24,213	\$36,550	51.0%
Mobile	\$19,317	\$28,905	49.6%
Montgomery	\$22,467	\$34,126	51.9%
Tuscaloosa	\$20,137	\$32,634	62.1%

Micropolitan Statistical Area	Per Capita Income		10 Year Percent Change
	1997	2007	
Albertville	\$19,744	\$28,766	45.7%
Alexander City	\$18,931	\$27,038	42.8%
Cullman	\$19,041	\$27,404	43.9%
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	\$22,767	\$35,021	53.8%
Enterprise-Ozark	\$19,097	\$29,859	56.4%
Eufaula	\$16,250	\$23,511	44.7%
Fort Payne	\$18,304	\$25,327	38.4%
Scottsboro	\$18,643	\$27,051	45.1%
Selma	\$17,051	\$26,200	53.7%
Talladega-Sylacauga	\$17,066	\$29,593	73.4%
Troy	\$18,428	\$31,564	71.3%
Tuskegee	\$14,561	\$21,807	49.8%
Valley	\$17,888	\$25,411	42.1%

Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 2009*



PER CAPITA INCOME 2007

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: ECONOMIC INFORMATION SYSTEM, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CEDS Indicators: Economy

Indicator:	Total Average Compensation per job
Measure: for	BEA-REIS Total Average Compensation per job in dollars (CA06) county/region/state
Baseline:	2007 County, State, and United States estimates
Data source:	Bureau of Economic Analysis: Regional Economic Information System (REIS) DVD. To order a free copy of the REIS DVD-ROM, call 1-800-704-0415 (outside the United States, call 202-606-9666). See also, http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?selTable=CA06N&series=NAICS
Frequency of review:	Annual

Total Average Compensation per Job is the sum of wage and salary disbursements and supplements to income, divided by total part-time and full-time employment. It measures the average compensation per job in the study area, regardless of the distribution of income among earners in different jobs or in full-time or part-time positions. It is useful as an unrefined measure of job quality. Total average compensation per job is available for each year from 2001 based on NAICS codes and from 1998 to 2000 based on SIC codes. Estimates are not adjusted for inflation.


Average Compensation per Job by Region

Region	2008 Average Compensation Per Job
Region 1	\$30,169
Region 2	\$30,507
Region 3	\$34,711
Region 4	\$31,360
Region 5	\$30,154
Region 6	\$33,816
Region 7	\$32,259
Region 8	\$33,655
Region 9	\$33,480
Region 10	\$31,543
Region 11	\$34,974
Region 12	\$35,085

Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, December 2009; and South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010

NOTES:

1. The employment estimates used to compute the average wage are a job, not person, count. People holding more than one job are counted in the employment estimates for each job they hold.
2. All state and local area dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).



Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, December 2009; and the South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010

Average Compensation Per Job By County

Area	2008 Average Compensation
United States	\$45,716
State of Alabama	\$38,055
Alabama Metropolitan	\$39,983
Alabama Nonmetropolitan	\$31,746
Autauga	\$30,776
Baldwin	\$31,005
Barbour	\$29,127
Bibb	\$31,198
Blount	\$28,964
Bullock	\$27,797
Butler	\$28,105
Calhoun	\$35,840
Chambers	\$29,649
Cherokee	\$29,398
Chilton	\$28,943
Choctaw	\$41,409
Clarke	\$31,129
Clay	\$27,384
Cleburne	\$32,814
Coffee	\$28,444
Colbert	\$36,513
Conecuh	\$30,507
Coosa	\$30,525
Covington	\$28,688
Crenshaw	\$29,335
Cullman	\$31,471
Dale	\$47,767
Dallas	\$31,228
DeKalb	\$29,756
Elmore	\$30,083
Escambia	\$32,039
Etowah	\$31,593
Fayette	\$26,474
Franklin	\$28,318
Geneva	\$25,377
Greene	\$30,345

Area	2008 Average Compensation
Hale	\$29,332
Henry	\$32,593
Houston	\$33,818
Jackson	\$30,321
Jefferson	\$45,505
Lamar	\$30,836
Lauderdale	\$28,657
Lawrence	\$36,994
Lee	\$31,731
Limestone	\$38,368
Lowndes	\$34,452
Macon	\$30,572
Madison	\$48,040
Marengo	\$31,392
Marion	\$29,163
Marshall	\$28,938
Mobile	\$37,922
Monroe	\$36,399
Montgomery	\$39,582
Morgan	\$36,458
Perry	\$25,765
Pickens	\$27,905
Pike	\$30,661
Randolph	\$27,823
Russell	\$31,354
St. Clair	\$30,876
Shelby	\$43,294
Sumter	\$29,361
Talladega	\$37,901
Tallapoosa	\$30,671
Tuscaloosa	\$37,459
Walker	\$30,686
Washington	\$48,210
Wilcox	\$32,761
Winston	\$28,192

Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, December 2009.*

Average Compensation per Job by Statistical Area


Metropolitan Statistical Area	Total Compensation Per Job, 2008
Anniston-Oxford	\$35,840
Auburn-Opelika	\$31,731
Birmingham-Hoover	\$43,322
Decatur	\$36,522
Dothan	\$32,844
Florence-Muscle Shoals	\$31,930
Gadsden	\$31,593
Huntsville	\$46,967
Mobile	\$37,922
Montgomery	\$37,890
Tuscaloosa	\$36,978

Micropolitan Statistical Area	Total Compensation Per Job, 2008
Albertville	\$28,938
Alexander City	\$30,656
Cullman	\$31,471
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	\$31,005
Enterprise-Ozark	\$40,038
Eufaula	\$29,103
Fort Payne	\$29,756
Scottsboro	\$30,321
Selma	\$31,228
Talladega-Sylacauga	\$37,901
Troy	\$30,661
Tuskegee	\$30,572
Valley	\$29,649

Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, December 2009.



Average Total Compensation Per Job, 2008



TOTAL AVERAGE COMPENSATION PER JOB

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: REGIONAL ECONOMIC INFORMATION SYSTEM, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CEDS Indicators: Population

Indicator:	Population Growth and Decline
Measure:	U.S. Census Population (decennial), CBER quinquennial projections for twenty years for county/region/state (decennial), U.S. Census Population Estimates (annual) for county/region/state
Baseline:	Census 2000
Data source:	U.S. Census Bureau, http://www.census.gov CBER, " alpop20002025.xls ," Excel Spreadsheet CBER, " counties by age and sex 2001-08.xls ," Excel Spreadsheet
Frequency of review:	Annual


Population is a measure of the number of residents of a geographic location. Population trends indicate changes in demand for goods and services and in the labor force of the local economy. Population growth tends to be desirable when managed for the good of the community. Unchecked growth can overwhelm economic mechanisms designed to provide necessary goods and services and high quality of life to residents. Understanding the nature of population change is essential to planning for economic growth.

Population Growth and Decline by Region

Region	1990	2,000	Change 90 – 00	2008 Estimate	Change 00 - 08	2025 Projection	Change 08 - 25
Region 1	211,024	230,230	9.10%	228,031	-1.0%	264,553	16.0%
Region 2	247,125	268,208	8.53%	279,904	4.4%	308,466	10.2%
Region 3	940,268	1,031,412	9.69%	1,095,979	6.3%	1,283,560	17.1%
Region 4	442,152	461,034	4.27%	458,785	-0.5%	501,970	9.4%
Region 5	111,750	113,961	1.98%	109,955	-3.5%	118,919	8.2%
Region 6	211,689	209,045	-1.25%	193,816	-7.3%	206,183	6.4%
Region 7	272,120	290,274	6.67%	303,171	4.4%	322,759	6.5%
Region 8	512,441	578,698	12.93%	618,238	6.8%	734,649	18.8%
Region 9	292,517	333,055	13.86%	353,280	6.1%	433,292	22.6%
Region 10	134,006	164,848	23.02%	183,514	11.3%	234,693	27.9%
Region 11	199,169	223,350	12.14%	231,449	3.6%	269,673	16.5%
Region 12	466,326	542,985	16.44%	605,778	11.6%	707,780	16.8%


Note: Projections in this series are based on trends between the 1990 and 2000 censuses.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama, August 2001.



Note: Projections in this series are based on trends between the 1990 and 2000 censuses.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama, August 2001.



*Note: Projections in this series are based on trends between the 1990 and 2000 censuses.
 Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama, August 2001.*

Population Growth and Decline by County, 1990 to 2025

County	1990	2000	Change 90 to 00	2008 Estimate	Change 00 to 08	2025 Projection	Change 08-25
Alabama	4,040,587	4,447,100	10.1%	4,661,900	4.8%	5,385,997	15.5%
Autauga	34,222	43,671	27.6%	50,364	15.3%	68,368	35.7%
Baldwin	98,280	140,415	42.9%	174,439	24.2%	248,436	42.4%
Barbour	25,417	29,038	14.2%	29,309	0.9%	35,246	20.3%
Bibb	16,576	20,826	25.6%	21,629	3.9%	30,749	42.2%
Blount	39,248	51,024	30.0%	57,441	12.6%	81,713	42.3%
Bullock	11,042	11,714	6.1%	10,796	-7.8%	12,578	16.5%
Butler	21,892	21,399	-2.3%	20,090	-6.1%	20,447	1.8%
Calhoun	116,034	112,249	-3.3%	113,419	1.0%	112,472	-0.8%
Chambers	36,876	36,583	-0.8%	34,424	-5.9%	36,532	6.1%
Cherokee	19,543	23,988	22.7%	24,545	2.3%	34,220	39.4%
Chilton	32,458	39,593	22.0%	42,444	7.2%	59,022	39.1%
Choctaw	16,018	15,922	-0.6%	14,055	-11.7%	15,568	10.8%
Clarke	27,240	27,867	2.3%	26,304	-5.6%	29,365	11.6%
Clay	13,252	14,254	7.6%	13,809	-3.1%	16,553	19.9%
Cleburne	12,730	14,123	10.9%	14,799	4.8%	16,920	14.3%
Coffee	40,240	43,615	8.4%	47,753	9.5%	50,303	5.3%
Colbert	51,666	54,984	6.4%	54,663	-0.6%	59,484	8.8%
Conecuh	14,054	14,089	0.2%	13,066	-7.3%	14,101	7.9%
Coosa	11,063	12,202	10.3%	10,814	-11.4%	13,875	28.3%
Covington	36,478	37,631	3.2%	36,856	-2.1%	38,294	3.9%
Crenshaw	13,635	13,665	0.2%	13,754	0.7%	13,714	-0.3%
Cullman	67,613	77,483	14.6%	81,324	5.0%	98,897	21.6%
Dale	49,633	49,129	-1.0%	48,292	-1.7%	52,820	9.4%
Dallas	48,130	46,365	-3.7%	42,867	-7.5%	44,648	4.2%
DeKalb	54,651	64,452	17.9%	68,515	6.3%	91,301	33.3%
Elmore	49,210	65,874	33.9%	78,106	18.6%	105,245	34.7%
Escambia	35,518	38,440	8.2%	37,490	-2.5%	42,660	13.8%
Etowah	99,840	103,459	3.6%	103,303	-0.2%	108,578	5.1%
Fayette	17,962	18,495	3.0%	17,691	-4.3%	18,752	6.0%
Franklin	27,814	31,223	12.3%	30,801	-1.4%	38,469	24.9%
Geneva	23,647	25,764	9.0%	25,882	0.5%	28,836	11.4%
Greene	10,153	9,974	-1.8%	9,172	-8.0%	9,311	1.5%
Hale	15,498	17,185	10.9%	18,145	5.6%	21,215	16.9%
Henry	15,374	16,310	6.1%	16,591	1.7%	17,428	5.0%
Houston	81,331	88,787	9.2%	98,488	10.9%	99,832	1.4%

Jackson	47,796	53,926	12.8%	53,134	-1.5%	64,516	21.4%
Jefferson	651,525	662,047	1.6%	659,503	-0.4%	701,651	6.4%
Lamar	15,715	15,904	1.2%	14,295	-10.1%	16,175	13.2%
Lauderdale	79,661	87,966	10.4%	89,128	1.3%	103,176	15.8%
Lawrence	31,513	34,803	10.4%	34,166	-1.8%	39,664	16.1%
Lee	87,146	115,092	32.1%	133,010	15.6%	179,495	34.9%
Limestone	54,135	65,676	21.3%	76,135	15.9%	90,865	19.3%
Lowndes	12,658	13,473	6.4%	12,644	-6.2%	14,708	16.3%
Macon	24,928	24,105	-3.3%	22,290	-7.5%	22,505	1.0%
Madison	238,912	276,700	15.8%	319,510	15.5%	349,713	9.5%
Marengo	23,084	22,539	-2.4%	21,055	-6.6%	20,848	-1.0%
Marion	29,830	31,214	4.6%	29,465	-5.6%	32,710	11.0%
Marshall	70,832	82,231	16.1%	88,484	7.6%	111,385	25.9%
Mobile	378,643	399,843	5.6%	406,309	1.6%	443,553	9.2%
Monroe	23,968	24,324	1.5%	22,553	-7.3%	24,586	9.0%
Montgomery	209,085	223,510	6.9%	224,810	0.6%	259,679	15.5%
Morgan	100,043	111,064	11.0%	115,959	4.4%	131,112	13.1%
Perry	12,759	11,861	-7.0%	10,643	-10.3%	10,872	2.2%
Pickens	20,699	20,949	1.2%	19,524	-6.8%	21,740	11.4%
Pike	27,595	29,605	7.3%	30,381	2.6%	34,967	15.1%
Randolph	19,881	22,380	12.6%	22,620	1.1%	28,232	24.8%
Russell	46,860	49,756	6.2%	50,504	1.5%	55,198	9.3%
St. Clair	50,009	64,742	29.5%	79,837	23.3%	102,121	27.9%
Shelby	99,358	143,293	44.2%	187,784	31.0%	265,083	41.2%
Sumter	16,174	14,798	-8.5%	13,266	-10.4%	13,051	-1.6%
Talladega	74,107	80,321	8.4%	80,279	-0.1%	90,021	12.1%
Tallapoosa	38,826	41,475	6.8%	40,773	-1.7%	44,567	9.3%
Tuscaloosa	150,522	164,875	9.5%	179,448	8.8%	190,524	6.2%
Walker	67,670	70,713	4.5%	68,970	-2.5%	73,970	7.2%
Washington	16,694	18,097	8.4%	17,204	-4.9%	20,123	17.0%
Wilcox	13,568	13,183	-2.8%	12,803	-2.9%	13,021	1.7%
Winston	22,053	24,843	12.7%	23,974	-3.5%	30,714	28.1%


Note: Projections in this series are based on trends between the 1990 and 2000 censuses.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama, August 2001.

Population Growth and Decline by Statistical Area, 1990 to 2025

Metropolitan Statistical Area	April 1, 2000		July 1, 2000	July 1, 2008	Change 00 - 08
	Census	Estimates base			
Anniston-Oxford	112,249	112,243	111,346	113,419	1.9%
Auburn-Opelika	115,092	115,124	115,530	133,010	15.1%
Birmingham-Hoover	1,052,238	1,051,301	1,053,365	1,117,608	6.1%
Decatur	145,867	145,873	146,040	150,125	2.8%
Dothan	130,861	130,859	131,057	140,961	7.6%
Florence-Muscle Shoals	142,950	142,944	143,010	143,791	0.5%
Gadsden	103,459	103,459	103,308	103,303	0.0%
Huntsville	342,376	342,628	343,767	395,645	15.1%
Mobile	399,843	399,843	400,028	406,309	1.6%
Montgomery	346,528	346,522	347,005	365,924	5.5%
Tuscaloosa	192,034	193,105	193,408	206,765	6.9%

Micropolitan Statistical Area	April 1, 2000		July 1, 2000	July 1, 2008	Change 00 - 08
	Census	Estimates base			
Alberville	82,231	82,231	82,324	88,484	7.5%
Alexander City	53,677	53,676	53,584	51,587	-3.7%
Cullman	77,483	77,483	77,578	81,324	4.8%
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	140,415	140,415	141,354	174,439	23.4%
Enterprise-Ozark	92,744	92,748	92,613	96,045	3.7%
Eufaula-GA/AL	31,636	31,636	31,635	32,012	1.2%
Fort Payne	64,452	64,454	64,627	68,515	6.0%
Scottsboro	53,926	53,926	54,029	53,134	-1.7%
Selma	46,365	46,393	46,194	42,867	-7.2%
Talladega-Sylacauga	80,321	80,328	80,340	80,279	-0.1%
Troy	29,605	29,693	29,734	30,381	2.2%
Tuskegee	24,105	24,105	24,076	22,290	-7.4%
Valley	36,583	36,583	36,562	34,424	-5.8%




Note: The April 1, 2000 estimates base reflects changes to the Census 2000 population resulting from legal boundary updates as of January 1, 2008, other geographic program changes, and the Count Question Resolution program. All geographic boundaries for the July 1, 2008 population estimates series are defined as of January 1, 2008. The Office of Management and Budget's statistical area definitions for metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, as well as metropolitan divisions, are those issued by that agency in November 2007.

The metropolitan and micropolitan statistical area population estimates are based upon the county estimates. The county estimates methodology is available at: <<http://www.census.gov/popest/topics/methodology/2008-st-co-meth.pdf>>.

Source: Table 1. Annual Estimates of the Population of Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2008 (CBSA-EST2008-01); U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Release Date: March 19, 2009



Micropolitan Area Population Change, 2000 to 2008




Note: The April 1, 2000 estimates base reflects changes to the Census 2000 population resulting from legal boundary updates as of January 1, 2008, other geographic program changes, and the Count Question Resolution program. All geographic boundaries for the July 1, 2008 population estimates series are defined as of January 1, 2008. The Office of Management and Budget's statistical area definitions for metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, as well as metropolitan divisions, are those issued by that agency in November 2007.

The metropolitan and micropolitan statistical area population estimates are based upon the county estimates. The county estimates methodology is available at: <<http://www.census.gov/popest/topics/methodology/2008-st-co-meth.pdf>>.

Source: Table 1. Annual Estimates of the Population of Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2008 (CBSA-EST2008-01); U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Release Date: March 19, 2009



PROJECTED POPULATION GROWTH, 2008 TO 2025



PROJECTED POPULATION GROWTH

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U.S. CENSUS BUREAU AND CENTER FOR BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA 2001

CEDS Indicators: Population

Indicator:	Age of Population
Measure:	U.S. Census population and CBER estimates by age group for county/region/state
Baseline:	Census 2000
Data source:	U.S. Census Bureau, CBER http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html
Frequency of review:	Decennial

Age of Population is a measure of the dispersion of people across age groups within an area. A great many economic variables are affected by age, including demand for particular goods and services and labor force participation. An understanding of the age structure of the local economy can be important to planning efforts by directing economic development strategies toward utilizing (or creating) demographic assets.


Age of Population by Region

Age Category	Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Total Population	228,031	279,904	1,095,979	458,785	109,955	193,816
Under 5	5.8%	6.3%	6.9%	6.1%	6.4%	6.5%
Ages 5-13	10.7%	11.4%	12.0%	11.3%	11.1%	12.4%
Ages 14-17	5.1%	5.3%	5.4%	5.3%	5.5%	6.2%
Ages 18-24	9.0%	13.0%	9.0%	8.5%	13.4%	9.4%
Ages 16 Plus	80.9%	79.7%	78.4%	79.9%	79.9%	78.1%
Ages 18 Plus	78.3%	77.0%	75.7%	77.2%	77.0%	74.9%
Ages 15-44	38.7%	43.8%	40.1%	38.5%	41.9%	37.7%
Ages 25-44	25.8%	26.9%	27.0%	26.0%	24.4%	23.6%
Ages 45-64	26.7%	24.4%	26.7%	26.8%	24.8%	26.3%
Ages 65+	16.8%	12.8%	12.9%	16.0%	14.4%	15.6%
Ages 85+	2.3%	1.7%	1.8%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%
Avg Median Age - Total	40.2	38.3	37.3	40.3	36.4	38.4
Avg Median Age - Male	38.5	36.2	36.1	38.7	34.1	36.1
Avg Median Age - Female	42.0	40.1	38.6	42.0	38.4	40.2

Source: Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama.
Based on population estimates as of July 1, 2008.

Age Category	Region					
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total Population	303,171	618,238	353,280	183,514	231,449	605,778
Under 5	6.7%	7.0%	7.1%	6.3%	6.4%	6.8%
Ages 5-13	11.9%	12.4%	12.5%	11.4%	11.5%	11.9%
Ages 14-17	5.6%	5.9%	5.9%	5.4%	5.5%	5.6%
Ages 18-24	8.3%	9.0%	10.9%	17.6%	8.1%	8.9%
Ages 16 Plus	78.7%	77.7%	77.5%	79.6%	79.4%	78.6%
Ages 18 Plus	75.9%	74.7%	74.5%	76.8%	76.7%	75.7%
Ages 15-44	38.4%	39.5%	41.8%	47.9%	39.4%	40.4%
Ages 25-44	26.0%	26.1%	26.5%	26.2%	27.2%	27.3%
Ages 45-64	26.4%	26.1%	25.3%	22.6%	26.7%	26.1%
Ages 65+	15.3%	13.6%	11.9%	10.4%	14.7%	13.4%
Ages 85+	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%	1.5%
Avg Median Age - Total	39.2	37.7	35.7	33.7	39.1	37.9
Avg Median Age - Male	37.3	36.1	34.2	32.2	37.8	36.5
Avg Median Age - Female	41.3	39.4	37.2	35.1	40.5	39.2

Source: Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama.
Based on population estimates as of July 1, 2008.



Source: Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama.
 Based on population estimates as of July 1, 2008.

Age of Population by County, 2008

County	Total Population	Under 5	Ages 5-13	Ages 14-17	Ages 18-24	Ages 25-44	Ages 45-64	Ages 65+
Autauga	50,364	6.9%	12.9%	6.4%	8.6%	27.8%	26.1%	11.4%
Baldwin	174,439	6.4%	11.6%	5.4%	7.8%	26.8%	25.7%	16.4%
Barbour	29,309	5.9%	11.0%	5.7%	9.6%	28.3%	26.4%	13.1%
Bibb	21,629	6.0%	11.8%	5.7%	7.6%	31.5%	24.0%	13.4%
Blount	57,441	6.4%	12.2%	5.5%	8.0%	28.7%	25.0%	14.3%
Bullock	10,796	7.4%	10.5%	5.4%	10.4%	30.1%	24.8%	11.3%
Butler	20,090	6.8%	11.4%	5.8%	9.3%	23.6%	27.1%	15.9%
Calhoun	113,419	6.7%	11.2%	5.3%	10.0%	25.0%	26.7%	15.1%
Chambers	34,424	5.9%	11.7%	5.5%	7.7%	25.3%	27.4%	16.5%
Cherokee	24,545	5.3%	10.7%	4.9%	6.5%	26.5%	27.2%	18.8%
Chilton	42,444	6.6%	12.2%	5.6%	7.6%	29.7%	25.3%	13.0%
Choctaw	14,055	5.6%	11.2%	5.3%	8.4%	22.9%	28.6%	17.9%
Clarke	26,304	6.1%	12.5%	6.3%	8.1%	25.0%	26.6%	15.6%
Clay	13,809	5.4%	10.5%	5.2%	7.3%	26.6%	26.6%	18.4%
Cleburne	14,799	6.2%	10.9%	5.6%	7.9%	27.2%	26.5%	15.6%
Coffee	47,753	6.6%	11.7%	5.6%	8.3%	27.0%	26.3%	14.6%
Colbert	54,663	5.6%	10.9%	5.4%	8.2%	25.5%	27.6%	16.8%
Conecuh	13,066	5.9%	10.8%	5.9%	8.2%	23.5%	28.4%	17.4%
Coosa	10,814	4.8%	10.0%	5.3%	7.3%	26.5%	28.9%	17.2%
Covington	36,856	6.3%	10.8%	5.2%	7.9%	24.4%	26.3%	19.0%
Crenshaw	13,754	6.3%	11.2%	5.6%	8.4%	25.6%	26.5%	16.5%
Cullman	81,324	6.2%	11.3%	5.3%	8.2%	27.7%	25.6%	15.6%
Dale	48,292	7.6%	13.3%	6.0%	8.4%	25.3%	26.1%	13.4%
Dallas	42,867	7.6%	12.9%	6.0%	9.6%	22.7%	26.2%	15.0%
DeKalb	68,515	7.3%	12.0%	5.4%	7.2%	28.4%	25.1%	14.6%
Elmore	78,106	6.8%	12.2%	5.6%	9.5%	29.9%	24.4%	11.5%
Escambia	37,490	6.4%	11.2%	5.4%	9.1%	27.0%	26.3%	14.7%
Etowah	103,303	6.2%	11.5%	5.3%	8.3%	26.2%	26.5%	16.1%
Fayette	17,691	5.1%	10.9%	5.3%	8.4%	25.4%	27.2%	17.7%
Franklin	30,801	7.3%	11.6%	5.4%	7.8%	27.2%	24.9%	15.8%
Geneva	25,882	6.0%	10.6%	5.2%	7.9%	25.6%	27.7%	17.0%
Greene	9,172	6.3%	12.4%	6.4%	8.2%	23.0%	27.2%	16.5%
Hale	18,145	5.7%	12.8%	5.9%	10.0%	27.9%	24.3%	13.5%

Henry	16,591	5.8%	11.4%	5.1%	8.1%	25.9%	27.0%	16.7%
Houston	98,488	7.0%	12.3%	5.6%	8.0%	25.8%	26.2%	15.1%
Jackson	53,134	5.8%	10.9%	5.6%	7.1%	26.8%	27.6%	16.0%
Jefferson	659,503	6.9%	11.6%	5.4%	9.5%	25.7%	27.3%	13.6%
Lamar	14,295	5.7%	9.9%	5.0%	7.4%	26.5%	27.6%	17.8%
Lauderdale	89,128	5.6%	10.5%	4.9%	10.8%	24.9%	26.5%	16.9%
Lawrence	34,166	5.9%	11.0%	5.9%	8.3%	27.6%	27.6%	13.8%
Lee	133,010	6.1%	11.1%	5.2%	21.3%	26.3%	21.2%	8.9%
Limestone	76,135	6.4%	12.0%	5.4%	8.9%	29.5%	25.7%	12.2%
Lowndes	12,644	6.8%	12.5%	5.8%	9.7%	25.2%	26.0%	14.0%
Macon	22,290	5.2%	10.2%	5.6%	19.5%	20.2%	23.8%	15.5%
Madison	319,510	6.4%	12.0%	5.8%	10.1%	26.5%	26.6%	12.6%
Marengo	21,055	6.4%	12.1%	6.6%	9.2%	23.5%	26.9%	15.3%
Marion	29,465	5.9%	10.3%	4.7%	7.2%	26.8%	26.6%	18.4%
Marshall	88,484	8.3%	12.2%	5.4%	7.3%	27.4%	24.9%	14.6%
Mobile	406,309	7.3%	12.9%	6.1%	9.5%	25.7%	26.3%	12.3%
Monroe	22,553	6.1%	12.6%	6.4%	8.8%	24.5%	27.0%	14.6%
Montgomery	224,810	7.3%	12.5%	5.8%	11.8%	25.0%	25.4%	12.2%
Morgan	115,959	6.6%	11.7%	5.5%	7.9%	26.7%	27.2%	14.3%
Perry	10,643	7.1%	13.3%	6.8%	12.2%	21.1%	23.1%	16.4%
Pickens	19,524	5.9%	11.6%	5.9%	9.2%	23.8%	26.2%	17.3%
Pike	30,381	6.6%	11.3%	4.9%	16.4%	25.2%	22.8%	12.9%
Randolph	22,620	6.0%	11.8%	5.7%	8.1%	25.8%	25.8%	16.8%
Russell	50,504	6.9%	12.2%	6.2%	8.1%	26.1%	26.1%	14.4%
St. Clair	79,837	6.6%	12.0%	5.4%	8.5%	30.0%	25.1%	12.5%
Shelby	187,784	7.5%	13.5%	5.6%	8.5%	29.2%	26.5%	9.2%
Sumter	13,266	5.7%	10.9%	6.6%	13.8%	22.7%	24.0%	16.2%
Talladega	80,279	6.2%	11.6%	5.5%	8.3%	27.4%	26.9%	14.1%
Tallapoosa	40,773	5.8%	10.9%	5.4%	7.8%	24.6%	27.5%	17.8%
Tuscaloosa	179,448	6.6%	11.4%	5.0%	15.5%	26.9%	23.5%	11.1%
Walker	68,970	6.2%	11.3%	5.1%	7.7%	26.7%	26.6%	16.4%
Washington	17,204	5.4%	12.3%	6.3%	8.4%	25.3%	26.9%	15.4%
Wilcox	12,803	7.5%	14.1%	6.5%	9.6%	24.1%	23.6%	14.6%
Winston	23,974	5.7%	10.8%	5.3%	7.4%	27.2%	27.4%	16.1%


Source: Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama.
Based on population estimates as of July 1, 2008.

Median Age by County, 2008

County	Median Age		
	Total	Male	Female
Autauga	36.2	35.2	37.2
Baldwin	38.8	37.6	40.2
Barbour	37.6	35.2	41.5
Bibb	36.4	35.1	38.1
Blount	37.3	36.5	38.2
Bullock	35.2	32.9	38.6
Butler	39.3	36.6	41.6
Calhoun	38.7	37.1	40.3
Chambers	40.4	38.4	42.6
Cherokee	42.1	40.9	43.5
Chilton	36.5	35.5	37.5
Choctaw	42.6	41.4	43.5
Clarke	39.1	37.9	40.3
Clay	41.6	39.4	43.7
Cleburne	39.4	37.9	41.0
Coffee	38.0	36.4	39.8
Colbert	40.9	39.3	42.6
Conecuh	42.0	39.8	43.6
Coosa	42.6	41.1	43.8
Covington	41.5	39.5	43.4
Crenshaw	39.4	36.9	41.7
Cullman	38.6	37.2	40.2
Dale	37.3	35.7	39.0
Dallas	37.4	34.6	39.5
DeKalb	37.5	35.9	39.1
Elmore	35.5	34.2	36.7
Escambia	38.1	36.4	40.6
Etowah	39.2	37.5	41.2
Fayette	41.1	39.1	43.1
Franklin	37.9	35.9	40.1
Geneva	41.1	39.3	43.2
Greene	39.8	35.5	42.5
Hale	35.7	33.8	37.9
Henry	40.2	38.0	42.4

County	Median Age		
	Total	Male	Female
Houston	38.4	36.8	40.0
Jackson	40.3	39	41.7
Jefferson	38.3	36.4	40.1
Lamar	41.9	40.6	43.2
Lauderdale	40	38.4	41.5
Lawrence	39.4	38.3	40.6
Lee	29.3	28.1	30.5
Limestone	36.9	35.7	38.2
Lowndes	37.5	35.5	39.1
Macon	34.2	31.7	35.8
Madison	37.5	36.4	38.4
Marengo	38.8	36.3	41.0
Marion	41.5	39.5	43.5
Marshall	37.1	35.6	38.6
Mobile	36.1	34.3	37.5
Monroe	38.5	36.9	40.2
Montgomery	35.5	33.1	37.6
Morgan	39.2	37.8	40.6
Perry	35	31.6	37.0
Pickens	40.3	38	42.0
Pike	32.6	31.2	33.8
Randolph	39.3	37.7	40.7
Russell	38	36.2	39.6
St. Clair	36.3	35.2	37.5
Shelby	35.6	34.8	36.4
Sumter	36.4	32.9	38.8
Talladega	38.3	36.9	39.9
Tallapoosa	41.6	39.7	43.3
Tuscaloosa	32.8	31.4	34.0
Walker	39.8	37.9	41.8
Washington	39.2	37.8	40.8
Wilcox	35	31.8	37.4
Winston	40.8	39.4	42.2

Source: Center for Business and Economic Research, The University of Alabama.
Based on population estimates as of July 1, 2008.



AVERAGE MEDIAN AGE BY REGION

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U. S. CENSUS BUREAU, 2008 TABULATION

CEDS Indicators: Population

Indicator:	Migration
Measure:	Population growth attributed to migration
Baseline:	Census 2000 County to County Migration Flows for County and State
Data source:	U.S. Census County to County Migration Flows
Frequency of review:	Decennial


Migration, along with births and deaths, is a primary factor in population increase or decline. Migration can occur for a variety of reasons related to economic climate and local place-based characteristics. Although stronger economies tend to be more attractive to new residents, migration alone is not an adequate indicator of economic health. When reviewed in consideration of other indicators, however, migration patterns can greatly contribute to an understanding of the structure of the local economy.

These migration data come from Census 2000 and are based on the sample of population receiving the long-form question on residence 5 years ago. The estimates reflect the number of people who moved between counties between 1995 and 2000. Current county of residence is based on where the person was living on April 1st, 2000. Previous county of residence is based on where the person was living 5 years earlier. The files come in two formats (excel and text) and are sorted separately by in-migrants to the state/county (inflows) and out-migrants from the state/county (outflows).

Net Migration of Population Age 5 Years and Older By Region, 1995 to 2000

Location	In different geographic area of residence in the United States in 1995						Domestic 5-year net migration
	Domestic In-migrants			Domestic Out-migrants			
	Total	From same state	From different state	Total	To same state	To different state	
Alabama	326,212	X	326,212	300,389	X	300,389	25,823
Region 1	31,294	18,328	12,966	31,698	19,755	11,943	-404
Region 2	43,372	27,364	11,331	40,770	17,040	17,273	2,602
Region 3	157,100	92,523	9,920	148,322	15,916	57,454	8,778
Region 4	62,952	35,557	4,646	66,205	11,336	26,044	-3,253
Region 5	16,749	10,540	3,757	18,696	9,305	6,342	-1,947
Region 6	20,019	11,960	3,422	30,201	7,863	10,281	-10,182
Region 7	50,831	23,581	3,640	53,708	7,902	26,988	-2,877
Region 8	76,654	27,907	14,418	74,142	19,309	46,000	2,512
Region 9	66,279	36,447	16,258	58,567	23,352	27,509	7,712
Region 10	45,786	23,776	17,319	36,636	24,798	20,864	9,150
Region 11	31,413	18,675	56,211	28,682	80,925	9,643	2,731
Region 12	89,602	39,181	70,207	78,601	92,295	40,048	11,001

Note: (X) = Not applicable



Source: U. S. Census Bureau, Census 2000, special tabulation. Census 2000 PHC-T-22. Migration for the Population 5 Years and Over for the United States, Regions, States, Counties, New England Minor Civil Divisions, Metropolitan Areas, and Puerto Rico: 2000. Internet release date: August 6, 2003

Net Migration of Population Age 5 Years and Older By County, 1995 to 2000


Location	In different geographic area of residence in the United States in 1995						Domestic 5-year net migration
	Domestic In-migrants			Domestic Out-migrants			
	Total	From same state	From different state	Total	To same state	To different state	
Alabama	326,212	X	326,212	300,389	X	300,389	25,823
Autauga	9,911	5,398	4,513	7,627	4,545	3,082	2,284
Baldwin	31,241	12,467	18,774	16,131	6,407	9,724	15,110
Barbour	4,602	2,546	2,056	4,440	2,613	1,827	162
Bibb	3,766	2,948	818	2,639	2,204	435	1,127
Blount	9,474	7,246	2,228	6,236	5,042	1,194	3,238
Bullock	2,278	1,807	471	1,819	1,490	329	459
Butler	2,075	1,190	885	2,519	1,750	769	-444
Calhoun	15,438	7,260	8,178	20,623	9,459	11,164	-5,185
Chambers	3,999	1,751	2,248	5,070	3,358	1,712	-1,071
Cherokee	4,268	1,701	2,567	2,955	1,810	1,145	1,313
Chilton	6,195	4,471	1,724	3,663	2,766	897	2,532
Choctaw	1,373	719	654	1,911	1,002	909	-538
Clarke	2,494	1,585	909	4,022	2,981	1,041	-1,528
Clay	1,832	1,388	444	1,675	1,378	297	157
Cleburne	1,967	698	1,269	1,929	1,149	780	38
Coffee	9,060	4,122	4,938	8,810	4,060	4,750	250
Colbert	7,135	4,682	2,453	7,551	4,919	2,632	-416
Conecuh	1,483	892	591	2,070	1,411	659	-587
Coosa	2,357	2,021	336	2,125	1,844	281	232
Covington	4,715	2,328	2,387	4,629	2,544	2,085	86
Crenshaw	1,925	1,382	543	1,550	1,225	325	375
Cullman	10,938	6,885	4,053	8,374	5,498	2,876	2,564
Dale	11,840	4,461	7,379	13,694	5,084	8,610	-1,854
Dallas	3,557	1,696	1,861	6,531	4,116	2,415	-2,974
DeKalb	9,183	5,239	3,944	7,762	4,752	3,010	1,421
Elmore	17,734	12,643	5,091	8,932	6,372	2,560	8,802
Escambia	5,716	2,865	2,851	5,623	3,445	2,178	93
Etowah	12,249	7,297	4,952	12,754	8,102	4,652	-505
Fayette	2,295	1,523	772	2,652	1,984	668	-357
Franklin	4,126	1,943	2,183	4,470	3,108	1,362	-344
Geneva	3,711	1,990	1,721	4,092	2,126	1,966	-381
Greene	1,016	628	388	1,444	999	445	-428
Hale	2,213	1,550	663	1,794	1,320	474	419

Location	In different geographic area of residence in the United States in 1995						Domestic 5-year net migration
	Domestic In-migrants			Domestic Out-migrants			
	Total	From same state	From different state	Total	To same state	To different state	
Henry	2,678	1,840	838	3,065	2,330	735	-387
Houston	14,225	6,294	7,931	14,978	7,963	7,015	-753
Jackson	7,290	3,658	3,632	6,582	3,730	2,852	708
Jefferson	77,674	38,001	39,673	99,591	57,483	42,108	-21,917
Lamar	1,673	892	781	2,241	1,356	885	-568
Lauderdale	12,022	6,360	5,662	11,403	5,579	5,824	619
Lawrence	4,372	3,022	1,350	3,922	3,250	672	450
Lee	36,380	21,126	15,254	27,055	10,917	16,138	9,325
Limestone	10,934	6,343	4,591	8,291	5,000	3,291	2,643
Lowndes	1,520	1,127	393	1,688	1,338	350	-168
Macon	4,153	1,958	2,195	5,093	2,627	2,466	-940
Madison	49,740	16,277	33,463	44,143	15,998	28,145	5,597
Marengo	2,421	1,725	696	3,204	2,140	1,064	-783
Marion	4,348	2,796	1,552	4,546	3,351	1,195	-198
Marshall	12,455	7,664	4,791	11,823	9,073	2,750	632
Mobile	39,697	12,575	27,122	52,388	18,290	34,098	-12,691
Monroe	2,283	1,397	886	4,315	2,739	1,576	-2,032
Montgomery	38,634	18,406	20,228	42,008	20,141	21,867	-3,374
Morgan	16,103	8,768	7,335	16,386	10,291	6,095	-283
Perry	1,532	1,017	515	1,693	1,225	468	-161
Pickens	2,147	1,111	1,036	3,377	2,243	1,134	-1,230
Pike	4,798	3,076	1,722	6,027	3,924	2,103	-1,229
Randolph	2,756	1,122	1,634	2,581	1,322	1,259	175
Russell	9,406	2,650	6,756	9,581	4,855	4,726	-175
St. Clair	13,484	10,203	3,281	8,703	6,636	2,067	4,781
Shelby	42,761	27,729	15,032	22,806	13,613	9,193	19,955
Sumter	1,873	980	893	2,772	1,957	815	-899
Talladega	12,917	8,667	4,250	10,417	7,064	3,353	2,500
Tallapoosa	5,169	3,652	1,517	6,076	4,675	1,401	-907
Tuscaloosa	30,262	18,712	11,550	26,623	13,391	13,232	3,639
Walker	7,512	4,873	2,639	7,323	5,328	1,995	189
Washington	1,804	1,181	623	2,131	1,157	974	-327
Wilcox	1,199	768	431	1,552	1,192	360	-353
Winston	3,663	2,547	1,116	3,728	2,798	930	-65

Source: U. S. Census Bureau, Census 2000, special tabulation. Census 2000 PHC-T-22. Migration for the Population 5 Years and Over for the United States, Regions, States, Counties, New England Minor Civil Divisions, Metropolitan Areas, and Puerto Rico: 2000. Internet release date: August 6, 2003



NET MIGRATION OF POPULATION 5 YEARS AND OLDER, 1995 TO 2000



NET MIGRATION OF POPULATION

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U. S. CENSUS BUREAU; CENSUS 2000, SPECIAL TABULATION

CEDS Indicators: Geography


Indicator:	Total Area
Measure:	Total land and water area in square miles by county/region/state
Baseline:	Existing total land and water area in square miles
Data source:	U.S. Bureau of the Census
Frequency of review:	N/A

Total Area is the fundamental baseline measure of the geography of the state and its constituent counties and regions. Various sources may be used. Since total area is often used in conjunction with population statistics in relation to population density, the indicator contained in reports of the Bureau of the Census is the preferred source for this effort.

Total Area by Region

Region	Area in Square Miles			% of Alabama Total Area
	Water	Land	Total	
Alabama	1,675	50,744	52,419	100.0%
Region 1	109	3,255	3,364	6.4%
Region 2	68	5,351	5,419	10.3%
Region 3	69	4,675	4,744	9.0%
Region 4	158	6,150	6,308	12.0%
Region 5	14	4,011	4,025	7.7%
Region 6	90	9,580	9,670	18.4%
Region 7	43	4,877	4,920	9.4%
Region 8	847	3,777	4,624	8.8%
Region 9	54	2,007	2,061	3.9%
Region 10	13	1,250	1,263	2.4%
Region 11	58	2,014	2,072	4.0%
Region 12	152	3,797	3,949	7.5%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Table GCT-PH1: Population, Housing Units, Area and Density. Regional Tabulations by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.




Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Table GCT-PH1: Population, Housing Units, Area and Density. Regional Tabulations by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.

Population and Housing Density by Region

Area	Population	Housing units	Area in square miles			Density per square mile of land area	
			Total area	Water area	Land area	Population	Housing units
Alabama	4,447,100	1,963,711	52,419	1,675	50,744	87.6	38.7
Region 1	230,230	106,071	3,364	109	3,255	70.7	32.6
Region 2	268,208	118,156	3,367	68	3,284	81.7	36.0
Region 3	1,031,412	445,993	3,350	69	3,276	314.8	136.1
Region 4	461,034	211,769	3,291	158	3,252	141.8	65.1
Region 5	113,961	51,737	3,204	14	3,155	36.1	16.4
Region 6	209,045	96,320	3,177	90	3,145	66.5	30.6
Region 7	290,274	132,378	3,441	43	3,404	85.3	38.9
Region 8	578,698	255,930	4,163	847	4,100	141.1	62.4
Region 9	333,055	138,832	4,154	54	4,100	81.2	33.9
Region 10	164,848	73,160	4,198	13	4,150	39.7	17.6
Region 11	223,350	97,630	4,716	58	4,658	48.0	21.0
Region 12	542,985	235,735	4,636	152	4,571	118.8	51.6

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Table GCT-PH1: Population, Housing Units, Area and Density. Regional Tabulations by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.



Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Table GCT-PH1: Population, Housing Units, Area and Density. Regional Tabulations by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.

Total Area and Population Density by County, 2000

County	Area in square miles			Population Density Per Square Mile of Land Area
	Total	Water	Land	
Alabama	52,419.02	1,675.01	50,744.00	87.6
Autauga	604.45	8.48	595.97	73.3
Baldwin	2,026.93	430.58	1,596.35	88
Barbour	904.52	19.61	884.9	32.8
Bibb	626.16	3.14	623.03	33.4
Blount	650.6	5.02	645.59	79
Bullock	626.06	1.04	625.01	18.7
Butler	777.92	1.05	776.87	27.5
Calhoun	612.32	3.86	608.46	184.5
Chambers	603.11	5.94	597.17	61.3
Cherokee	599.95	46.83	553.12	43.4
Chilton	700.76	6.78	693.98	57.1
Choctaw	920.85	7.34	913.51	17.4
Clarke	1,252.51	14.13	1,238.38	22.5
Clay	606	0.93	605.07	23.6
Cleburne	561.02	0.81	560.21	25.2
Coffee	680.48	1.49	678.99	64.2
Colbert	623.61	29.08	594.53	92.5
Conecuh	852.51	1.71	850.79	16.6
Coosa	666.36	13.91	652.44	18.7
Covington	1,043.86	10.05	1,033.82	36.4
Crenshaw	610.88	1.3	609.58	22.4
Cullman	754.82	16.39	738.43	104.9
Dale	562.67	1.6	561.07	87.6
Dallas	993.37	12.66	980.71	47.3
DeKalb	778.65	0.74	777.91	82.9
Elmore	657.21	35.96	621.26	106
Escambia	952.95	5.57	947.38	40.6
Etowah	548.75	13.93	534.82	193.4
Fayette	629.34	1.68	627.66	29.5
Franklin	646.51	10.86	635.64	49.1
Geneva	578.9	2.62	576.28	44.7
Greene	659.93	14.07	645.87	15.4

County	Area in square miles			Population Density Per Square Mile of Land Area
	Total Area	Water Area	Land Area	
Hale	656.47	12.74	643.74	26.7
Henry	568.33	6.53	561.8	29
Houston	581.65	1.29	580.36	153
Jackson	1,126.77	48.02	1,078.74	50
Jefferson	1,123.80	11.2	1,112.61	595
Lamar	605.47	0.62	604.85	26.3
Lauderdale	718.78	49.32	669.46	131.4
Lawrence	718.07	24.68	693.38	50.2
Lee	615.55	6.84	608.71	189.1
Limestone	607.08	39.03	568.05	115.6
Lowndes	725.03	7.1	717.94	18.8
Macon	613.24	2.72	610.52	39.5
Madison	812.85	7.93	804.92	343.8
Marengo	982.85	5.8	977.04	23.1
Marion	743.57	2.16	741.41	42.1
Marshall	623.16	56.1	567.06	145
Mobile	1,644.02	410.93	1,233.09	324.3
Monroe	1,034.53	8.67	1,025.85	23.7
Montgomery	799.76	9.99	789.76	283
Morgan	599.06	16.84	582.21	190.8
Perry	724.08	4.59	719.48	16.5
Pickens	890.05	8.63	881.42	23.8
Pike	672.1	1.06	671.03	44.1
Randolph	584.11	3.06	581.05	38.5
Russell	647.38	6.06	641.32	77.6
St. Clair	653.61	19.86	633.75	102.2
Shelby	809.53	14.83	794.69	180.3
Sumter	913.3	8.37	904.94	16.4
Talladega	760.25	20.72	739.53	108.6
Tallapoosa	766.23	48.3	717.93	57.8
Tuscaloosa	1,351.23	26.85	1,324.37	124.5
Walker	805.3	10.91	794.39	89
Washington	1,088.54	7.89	1,080.66	16.7
Wilcox	907.46	18.78	888.68	14.8
Winston	631.86	17.42	614.44	40.4

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000 Summary File 1, Table GCT-PH1: Population, Housing Units, Area and Density.

CEDS Indicators: Geography


Indicator:	Census Statistical Areas
Measure:	Designation of Statistical Areas
Baseline:	Current Designations
Data source:	U.S. Office of Management and Budget
Frequency of review:	Annual

Census Statistical Areas provide a rough indication of the relative population intensity and relationships of geographic areas while also indicating their status with regard to the Census Bureau's reporting protocol and the applicability of other Federal programs. Over time, the inclusion or exclusion of an area may indicate significant changes in population growth and/or commuting patterns. Current designations are metropolitan statistical areas, micropolitan statistical areas, combined statistical areas which may include multiple metropolitan and/or micropolitan areas, and other undesignated areas. There are currently twelve metropolitan areas, thirteen micropolitan areas and seven combined areas in the State of Alabama

Designated Statistical Areas by Region


Region	Counties in Region	Metropolitan Counties	Micropolitan Counties	Counties in a Designated Statistical Area	% of Counties in a Designated Statistical Area
Region 1	5	2	0	2	40.0%
Region 2	7	4	0	4	57.1%
Region 3	6	6	0	6	100.0%
Region 4	10	2	4	6	60.0%
Region 5	6	1	2	3	50.0%
Region 6	10	0	1	1	10.0%
Region 7	7	3	3	6	85.7%
Region 8	3	1	1	2	66.7%
Region 9	3	3	0	3	100.0%
Region 10	2	2	0	2	100.0%
Region 11	3	2	1	3	100.0%
Region 12	5	2	3	5	100.0%

Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget, OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 20, 2008. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.




Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget, OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 20, 2008. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.

Alabama Metropolitan Areas, 2008




Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget, OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 20, 2008.

Alabama's Micropolitan Areas, 2009



Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget, OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 2008.

Alabama Combined Areas, 2008




Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget,
OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 20, 2008.

Counties Included in a Designated Statistical Area

	Metropolitan Statistical Areas										Micropolitan Areas										Combined Statistical Areas											
	Anniston-Oxford	Auburn-Opelika	Birmingham-Hoover	Decatur	Dothan	Florence-Muscle Shoals	Gadsden	Huntsville	Mobile	Montgomery	Tuscaloosa	Albertville	Alexander City	Cullman	Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	Enterprise-Ozark	Eufaula-GA/AL	Fort Payne	Scottsboro	Selma	Talladega-Sylacauga	Troy	Tuskegee	Valley	Atlanta-Sandy Spgs-Gainesville, GA-AL	Birmingham-Hoover-Cullman, AL	Columbus-Auburn-Opelika, GA-AL	Dothan-Enterprise-Ozark, AL	Huntsville-Decatur, AL	Mobile-Daphne-Fairhope, AL	Montgomery-Alexander City, AL	
Autauga									Y																							Y
Baldwin															Y																Y	
Barbour																Y																
Bibb			Y																								Y					
Blount			Y																								Y					
Bullock																																
Butler																																
Calhoun	Y																															
Chambers																								Y	Y							
Cherokee																																
Chilton			Y																								Y					
Choctaw																																
Clarke																																
Clay																																
Cleburne																																
Coffee																Y												Y				
Colbert					Y																											
Conecuh																																
Coosa												Y																				Y
Covington																																
Crenshaw																																
Cullman													Y													Y						
Dale															Y																	
Dallas																			Y													
DeKalb																	Y															
Elmore									Y																							Y
Escambia																																
Etowah						Y																										
Fayette																																
Franklin																																
Geneva					Y																						Y					
Greene										Y																						
Hale										Y																						

	Metropolitan Statistical Areas										Micropolitan Areas										Combined Statistical Areas													
	Anniston-Oxford	Auburn-Opelika	Birmingham-Hoover	Decatur	Dothan	Florence-Muscle Shoals	Gadsden	Huntsville	Mobile	Montgomery	Tuscaloosa	Albertville	Alexander City	Cullman	Daphne-Fairhope-Foley	Enterprise-Ozark	Eufaula-GA/AL	Fort Payne	Scottsboro	Selma	Talladega-Sylacauga	Troy	Tuskegee	Valley	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Gainesville, GA-AL	Birmingham-Hoover-Cullman, AL	Columbus-Auburn-Opelika, GA-AL	Dothan-Enterprise-Ozark, AL	Huntsville-Decatur, AL	Mobile-Daphne-Fairhope, AL	Montgomery-Alexander City, AL			
Henry					Y																													
Houston					Y																													
Jackson																																		
Jefferson			Y																								Y							
Lamar																																		
Lauderdale						Y																												
Lawrence				Y																													Y	
Lee		Y																																
Limestone								Y																									Y	
Lowndes										Y																								Y
Macon																								Y										
Madison								Y																										Y
Marengo																																		
Marion																																		
Marshall												Y																						
Mobile									Y																									Y
Monroe																																		
Montgomery										Y																								Y
Morgan				Y																														Y
Perry																																		
Pickens																																		
Pike																							Y											
Randolph																																		
Russell		Y																																
St. Clair			Y																								Y							
Shelby			Y																								Y							
Sumter																																		
Talladega																						Y												
Tallapoosa												Y																						Y
Tuscaloosa											Y																							
Walker			Y																									Y						
Washington																																		
Wilcox																																		
Winston																																		


Source: U.S. Office of Management and Budget, OMB Bulletin No. 09-01, November 20, 2008.



METROPOLITAN & MICROPOLITAN AREAS

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U. S. CENSUS BUREAU, 2009 TABULATION:



TOTAL LAND AREA

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: U. S. CENSUS BUREAU, 2009 TABULATION:

CEDS Indicators: Workforce Development and Use


Indicator:	Educational Attainment
Measure:	U.S. Census, Educational Attainment, Population 18+
Baseline:	Census 2000, Educational Attainment, Population 18+
Data source:	U.S. Census Bureau, "PCT25. Sex By Age By Educational Attainment for the Population 18 Years and Over," www.census.gov
Frequency of review:	Decennial

Educational attainment is an indicator of the skill level of the workforce in the study area. Educational attainment is also highly correlated with income and earnings. A higher skilled and educated workforce is essential to manufacturing productivity, efficiency and variety in goods and services, and economic innovation. Educational attainment is estimated by the U.S. Census Bureau in the decennial census based on sample responses to long form questions. Estimates are provided in eight categories of educational attainment.

Educational Attainment by Region

Region	Population 25 years and over	No School Completed	Less Than 9th Grade	9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma	High School Diploma, Includes Equivalency	Some College, No Degree	Associate's Degree	Bachelor's Degree	Graduate or Professional Degree
US		1.4%	6.1%	12.1%	28.6%	21.0%	6.3%	15.5%	8.9%
Alabama		1.2%	7.1%	16.4%	30.4%	20.5%	5.4%	12.2%	6.9%
Region 1	155,827	1.3%	9.9%	18.5%	33.4%	18.8%	4.4%	8.6%	5.1%
Region 2	166,247	1.6%	7.5%	17.3%	31.6%	19.0%	5.2%	10.8%	7.0%
Region 3	678,967	1.0%	5.6%	14.4%	28.8%	21.7%	5.5%	15.1%	8.0%
Region 4	308,916	1.3%	8.7%	19.9%	33.3%	19.4%	5.1%	7.6%	4.8%
Region 5	70,446	2.0%	11.0%	20.6%	30.7%	17.5%	4.1%	8.6%	5.3%
Region 6	130,875	2.3%	9.5%	19.9%	35.4%	16.8%	4.6%	7.3%	4.3%
Region 7	192,102	1.7%	8.6%	17.3%	30.2%	20.7%	6.4%	9.9%	5.2%
Region 8	371,642	1.1%	5.2%	16.2%	31.5%	21.3%	5.5%	12.5%	6.7%
Region 9	212,108	1.0%	5.0%	14.5%	27.5%	22.2%	5.1%	15.3%	9.4%
Region 10	94,277	1.3%	6.6%	15.8%	28.8%	19.7%	6.1%	12.1%	9.6%
Region 11	148,012	1.1%	8.8%	17.5%	32.3%	20.1%	5.8%	9.7%	4.8%
Region 12	357,981	1.0%	7.3%	14.5%	27.3%	20.8%	5.6%	15.5%	8.0%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000, Summary File 3, Tables P37 and P148



Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000, Summary File 3, Tables P37 and P148

Educational Attainment by County

County	Population 25 Years and Over	No School Completed	Less Than 9th Grade	9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma	High School Diploma, Includes Equivalency	Some College, No Degree	Associate's Degree	Bachelor's Degree	Graduate or Professional Degree
United States	182,211,639	1.4%	6.1%	12.1%	28.6%	21.0%	6.3%	15.5%	8.9%
Alabama	2,887,400	1.2%	7.1%	16.4%	30.4%	20.5%	5.4%	12.2%	6.9%
Autauga	27,589	0.7%	5.0%	15.5%	33.8%	21.8%	5.0%	11.8%	6.3%
Baldwin	96,010	0.6%	3.8%	13.6%	29.6%	23.4%	6.0%	14.8%	8.3%
Barbour	18,896	2.5%	10.4%	22.4%	32.4%	16.6%	4.7%	7.2%	3.7%
Bibb	13,540	1.9%	11.2%	23.6%	35.7%	15.3%	5.0%	4.1%	3.0%
Blount	33,702	1.6%	9.5%	18.5%	36.0%	18.6%	6.2%	5.9%	3.7%
Bullock	7,570	1.9%	13.2%	24.4%	35.2%	14.6%	2.9%	4.4%	3.4%
Butler	13,767	1.8%	10.9%	19.6%	34.5%	18.4%	4.4%	7.4%	3.0%
Calhoun	74,015	1.0%	7.3%	17.8%	32.2%	21.4%	5.1%	8.9%	6.3%
Chambers	24,497	2.0%	10.5%	23.4%	32.1%	18.0%	4.5%	6.3%	3.2%
Cherokee	16,825	2.2%	11.6%	22.7%	34.9%	14.7%	4.2%	5.5%	4.2%
Chilton	25,902	1.4%	10.8%	21.7%	35.8%	16.4%	4.1%	5.9%	4.0%
Choctaw	10,569	3.0%	12.6%	19.5%	34.7%	16.1%	4.5%	6.5%	3.1%
Clarke	17,702	1.2%	8.6%	19.4%	37.7%	16.3%	4.8%	7.6%	4.5%
Clay	9,767	1.3%	11.0%	21.8%	37.8%	15.6%	4.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Cleburne	9,533	1.7%	11.4%	24.1%	35.8%	14.4%	3.4%	4.9%	4.4%
Coffee	28,885	1.7%	8.4%	16.7%	26.2%	20.8%	6.8%	12.3%	7.0%
Colbert	37,384	1.1%	7.3%	18.2%	33.9%	20.4%	5.0%	8.8%	5.3%
Conecuh	9,230	2.8%	9.5%	20.1%	38.1%	16.7%	3.6%	6.4%	2.9%
Coosa	8,255	2.2%	8.0%	24.1%	38.3%	15.0%	4.4%	5.2%	2.8%
Covington	25,705	1.9%	11.7%	18.1%	33.0%	17.2%	6.0%	7.8%	4.4%
Crenshaw	9,268	2.5%	13.7%	23.7%	29.0%	15.3%	4.6%	7.2%	4.0%
Cullman	51,787	0.9%	10.3%	18.4%	32.0%	19.7%	6.7%	7.4%	4.5%
Dale	31,390	1.0%	6.6%	14.6%	29.2%	26.3%	8.3%	9.6%	4.4%
Dallas	28,742	2.2%	8.5%	18.9%	33.6%	17.2%	5.6%	8.5%	5.4%
DeKalb	42,740	1.9%	12.5%	21.8%	34.0%	16.8%	4.7%	4.9%	3.4%
Elmore	43,177	0.7%	5.6%	16.1%	33.8%	22.1%	5.1%	10.6%	6.0%
Escambia	25,510	1.4%	8.6%	21.4%	34.7%	17.8%	5.5%	6.6%	3.9%
Etowah	69,829	1.1%	7.6%	17.3%	32.3%	21.7%	6.7%	8.1%	5.3%
Fayette	12,579	1.4%	12.1%	20.4%	35.0%	17.3%	4.6%	5.7%	3.5%
Franklin	20,860	2.3%	12.8%	22.8%	30.5%	17.4%	4.5%	5.9%	3.8%
Geneva	17,588	1.9%	11.3%	21.1%	32.0%	19.1%	5.9%	5.6%	3.1%
Greene	6,204	3.9%	11.5%	19.8%	34.9%	16.1%	3.3%	7.4%	3.1%

County	Population 25 years and over	No School Completed	Less Than 9th Grade	9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma	High School Diploma, Includes Equivalency	Some College, No Degree	Associate's Degree	Bachelor's Degree	Graduate or Professional Degree
Hale	10,591	2.4%	10.9%	21.4%	35.9%	16.3%	4.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Henry	10,967	2.1%	10.1%	21.1%	29.1%	18.4%	5.1%	9.3%	4.8%
Houston	58,671	1.5%	6.8%	15.1%	30.4%	21.5%	6.2%	12.1%	6.3%
Jackson	36,435	1.4%	11.6%	19.9%	34.9%	16.6%	5.2%	6.6%	3.8%
Jefferson	434,158	1.0%	4.6%	13.5%	27.9%	22.7%	5.7%	15.9%	8.7%
Lamar	10,758	1.0%	12.2%	21.8%	37.5%	14.9%	4.9%	5.4%	2.4%
Lauderdale	58,894	0.7%	7.9%	15.0%	34.1%	19.5%	4.3%	11.9%	6.6%
Lawrence	22,894	1.2%	11.2%	22.0%	39.4%	14.9%	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Lee	62,170	0.9%	4.9%	12.8%	26.7%	20.2%	6.6%	15.1%	12.8%
Limestone	43,456	0.8%	8.3%	16.3%	32.5%	20.3%	4.8%	11.9%	5.0%
Lowndes	8,183	3.6%	10.8%	21.4%	33.4%	16.1%	3.8%	7.4%	3.6%
Macon	13,955	1.8%	9.3%	18.9%	25.0%	20.7%	5.6%	10.4%	8.4%
Madison	180,389	0.6%	4.0%	9.9%	21.9%	23.1%	6.1%	22.7%	11.6%
Marengo	14,326	1.8%	8.2%	18.1%	37.4%	17.6%	4.9%	7.7%	4.4%
Marion	21,611	1.4%	13.7%	21.7%	32.9%	17.4%	4.9%	4.9%	3.1%
Marshall	54,961	1.3%	10.6%	18.8%	30.5%	19.4%	5.6%	9.1%	4.8%
Mobile	250,122	1.2%	5.5%	16.6%	31.9%	20.9%	5.3%	12.2%	6.4%
Monroe	15,378	2.3%	8.8%	21.0%	34.4%	17.1%	4.6%	7.3%	4.5%
Montgomery	141,342	1.2%	4.7%	13.8%	24.3%	22.3%	5.1%	17.4%	11.1%
Morgan	73,331	1.2%	6.9%	15.5%	30.3%	21.9%	5.7%	12.7%	5.7%
Perry	6,978	3.0%	11.2%	23.4%	30.4%	17.9%	4.1%	5.2%	4.8%
Pickens	13,536	2.1%	9.1%	19.2%	37.8%	16.9%	5.3%	6.4%	3.3%
Pike	17,703	1.5%	10.3%	19.1%	30.0%	17.4%	3.2%	11.4%	7.0%
Randolph	14,762	1.5%	12.1%	24.4%	32.0%	15.8%	4.1%	6.2%	3.8%
Russell	32,107	2.0%	9.8%	21.7%	33.0%	18.6%	5.2%	6.3%	3.4%
St. Clair	43,101	1.1%	8.2%	19.4%	34.6%	20.3%	5.3%	7.5%	3.6%
Shelby	94,185	0.7%	3.4%	9.0%	23.0%	22.1%	5.0%	25.6%	11.2%
Sumter	8,731	3.2%	11.6%	20.5%	31.1%	17.9%	3.3%	7.3%	5.1%
Talladega	53,060	1.3%	8.7%	20.3%	34.4%	19.6%	4.4%	6.9%	4.3%
Tallapoosa	28,373	1.1%	8.5%	20.3%	32.9%	18.5%	4.5%	9.4%	4.7%
Tuscaloosa	99,039	1.4%	5.1%	14.7%	28.4%	21.0%	5.4%	14.3%	9.7%
Walker	47,919	1.5%	10.2%	21.1%	34.7%	17.7%	5.6%	5.4%	3.8%
Washington	11,240	1.7%	9.1%	16.9%	43.6%	15.5%	4.6%	5.6%	3.0%
Wilcox	7,979	3.1%	10.7%	26.7%	30.3%	15.2%	4.0%	7.6%	2.6%
Winston	17,078	2.0%	13.8%	21.6%	34.3%	16.8%	3.2%	4.4%	3.9%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census, Census 2000, Summary File 3, Tables P37 and P148

CEEDS Indicators: Workforce Development and Use

Indicator:	Employment by Industry
Measure: county/region/state	BEA-REIS employment by industry (CA25) for
Baseline:	Percentage by Industry
Data source:	Bureau of Economic Analysis: Regional Economic Information System (REIS) DVD. To order a free copy of the REIS DVD-ROM, call 1-800-704-0415 (outside the United States, call 202-606-9666). See also, http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?selTable=CA25
Frequency of review:	Five years

Employment by industry is an indication of the type of industry sectors in which local economic activity is concentrated. This measure can be used to perform analyses of the concentration of the workforce in industries within the local economy (absolute numbers/percentages) and in comparison to other locations (location quotient). Additionally, the data is available from 2001, allowing analyses of workforce characteristics across different time periods within the study area (absolute change/percentage change) and between the study area and comparison areas (shift share). The BEA employment by industry data includes total jobs including private sector wage & salary workers, farm workers, government workers and self-employed workers (unlike County Business Patterns employment data, which includes only wage and salary workers in private industries).

Employment by Industry by Region, 2007

	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	117,894	147,168	672,746	220,113	53,329	89,276
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	79.0%	82.5%	81.7%	80.1%	77.6%	78.4%
Proprietors employment	21.0%	17.5%	18.3%	19.9%	22.4%	21.6%
Farm proprietors employment	17.2%	10.4%	3.2%	11.3%	21.3%	19.3%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	82.8%	89.6%	96.8%	88.7%	78.7%	80.7%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	3.9%	2.1%	0.7%	2.6%	6.0%	4.8%
Nonfarm employment	96.1%	97.9%	99.3%	97.4%	94.0%	95.2%
Private employment	83.9%	78.7%	87.1%	83.1%	82.2%	82.0%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.6%	0.8%	0.2%	0.4%	1.9%	4.0%
Mining	0.2%	2.7%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Utilities	0.1%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%
Construction	7.7%	9.8%	8.9%	8.2%	7.2%	6.3%
Manufacturing	22.3%	17.3%	7.8%	20.3%	16.1%	23.5%
Wholesale trade	4.4%	2.0%	5.7%	3.6%	1.7%	2.2%
Retail trade	14.7%	14.0%	12.9%	13.8%	11.0%	13.6%
Transportation / warehousing	0.8%	3.5%	2.9%	2.9%	6.7%	5.5%
Information	0.9%	1.3%	2.3%	1.3%	1.0%	0.8%
Finance and insurance	3.7%	3.2%	6.9%	2.9%	3.1%	2.9%
Real estate, rental, leasing	2.9%	4.2%	4.6%	3.0%	3.1%	2.3%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	3.0%	4.8%	7.5%	3.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.1%	0.3%	1.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Admin. and waste services	6.8%	5.4%	7.3%	7.2%	2.8%	3.3%
Educational services	0.8%	1.4%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%
Health care, social assistance	7.2%	6.8%	11.5%	9.1%	0.9%	4.1%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.1%	1.2%	1.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Accommodation, food services	7.2%	8.3%	7.1%	7.8%	3.6%	3.2%
Other svcs, except pub admin	8.2%	7.7%	7.3%	8.2%	6.4%	9.5%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	16.1%	21.3%	12.9%	16.9%	17.8%	18.0%
Federal, civilian	10.2%	5.7%	10.2%	18.8%	13.2%	4.0%
Military	7.1%	5.2%	7.4%	7.2%	7.1%	7.4%
State and local	82.7%	89.2%	82.3%	74.0%	79.7%	88.7%
State government	21.5%	42.2%	36.6%	23.2%	30.2%	21.6%
Local government	78.5%	57.8%	63.4%	75.3%	59.4%	78.4%

	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	Region 11	Region 12
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	167,024	344,185	227,518	85,977	120,559	372,284
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	80.9%	80.5%	82.7%	82.0%	76.0%	82.0%
Proprietors employment	19.1%	19.5%	17.3%	18.0%	24.0%	18.0%
Farm proprietors employment	14.7%	3.2%	4.2%	3.9%	16.8%	11.2%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	85.3%	96.8%	95.8%	96.1%	83.2%	88.8%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	3.4%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%	4.5%	2.3%
Nonfarm employment	96.6%	99.1%	99.2%	99.1%	95.5%	97.7%
Private employment	82.3%	86.7%	80.0%	77.2%	87.5%	83.1%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
Mining	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Utilities	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Construction	7.8%	11.1%	7.6%	9.2%	11.1%	6.8%
Manufacturing	17.5%	8.4%	10.8%	14.4%	19.5%	20.4%
Wholesale trade	3.8%	4.3%	3.7%	2.7%	3.5%	3.4%
Retail trade	15.3%	14.8%	13.2%	15.0%	13.6%	13.9%
Transportation / warehousing	2.1%	4.1%	3.1%	3.8%	5.8%	0.7%
Information	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%	0.7%	1.3%
Finance and insurance	3.1%	3.9%	5.8%	3.3%	3.5%	2.8%
Real estate, rental, leasing	3.8%	5.8%	4.3%	4.3%	3.3%	3.7%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	4.4%	5.8%	6.7%	5.1%	3.4%	12.8%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Admin. and waste services	4.8%	8.0%	9.3%	7.8%	7.6%	6.7%
Educational services	1.5%	1.7%	2.6%	1.4%	0.4%	1.2%
Health care, social assistance	8.4%	10.4%	11.4%	5.8%	8.6%	7.3%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.1%	1.7%	1.9%	2.0%	1.0%	1.3%
Accommodation, food services	7.6%	8.3%	8.3%	11.5%	6.6%	7.5%
Other svcs, except pub admin	7.0%	7.9%	8.3%	6.2%	6.3%	6.9%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	17.7%	13.3%	20.0%	22.8%	12.5%	16.9%
Federal, civilian	12.6%	6.4%	14.5%	2.1%	4.3%	27.4%
Military	20.4%	9.6%	11.9%	5.7%	9.0%	7.6%
State and local	67.0%	84.0%	73.6%	92.2%	86.7%	65.0%
State government	18.1%	30.4%	58.4%	46.4%	15.4%	19.1%
Local government	81.9%	69.6%	41.6%	53.6%	84.6%	80.9%

Source: Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, US Department of Commerce, Table CA25N, April 2009

Footnotes for Table CA25N:

1. The estimates of employment for 2001-2006 are based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The estimates for 2007 are based on the 2007 NAICS.
2. Excludes limited partners.
3. Other consists of the number of jobs held by U.S. residents employed by international organizations and foreign embassies and consulates in the United States.

Employment by Industry by County, 2007

	Alabama	Autauga	Baldwin	Barbour	Bibb	Blount
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	2,618,073	18,975	91,930	14,278	6,706	19,224
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	80.9%	67.4%	74.7%	81.9%	72.6%	55.5%
Proprietors employment	19.1%	32.6%	25.3%	18.1%	27.4%	44.5%
Farm proprietors employment	8.7%	6.0%	4.4%	17.2%	9.9%	14.6%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	91.3%	94.0%	95.6%	82.8%	90.1%	85.4%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	1.9%	2.2%	1.4%	3.7%	2.8%	7.3%
Nonfarm employment	98.1%	97.8%	98.6%	96.3%	97.2%	92.7%
Private employment	84.0%	86.3%	89.1%	84.6%	77.1%	86.5%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Mining	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	1.2%	(D)	(D)
Utilities	0.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	(D)	(D)
Construction	8.7%	11.2%	12.7%	(D)	21.3%	18.0%
Manufacturing	14.2%	10.8%	6.8%	35.1%	10.5%	11.6%
Wholesale trade	4.2%	2.6%	2.9%	1.9%	(D)	3.8%
Retail trade	13.8%	17.0%	18.2%	11.3%	13.0%	13.8%
Transportation / warehousing	3.6%	2.8%	2.0%	7.7%	4.2%	(D)
Information	1.5%	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.9%
Finance and insurance	4.5%	3.4%	3.7%	2.6%	2.3%	3.0%
Real estate, rental, leasing	4.2%	5.8%	8.8%	2.6%	3.2%	4.8%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	6.6%	4.6%	5.0%	2.6%	4.7%	4.2%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	(L)	(D)	0.3%
Admin. and waste services	7.3%	5.4%	6.2%	5.2%	(D)	6.2%
Educational services	1.6%	1.8%	1.1%	1.5%	(D)	0.4%
Health care, social assistance	10.2%	8.3%	9.0%	6.8%	(D)	7.7%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.6%	1.7%	2.4%	1.0%	1.1%	2.2%
Accommodation, food services	7.7%	10.2%	11.0%	5.6%	5.8%	4.8%
Other svcs, except pub admin	7.7%	11.5%	7.6%	6.8%	11.6%	11.9%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	16.0%	13.7%	10.9%	15.4%	22.9%	13.5%
Federal, civilian	12.7%	3.5%	3.1%	2.8%	5.8%	4.0%
Military	8.8%	11.1%	9.9%	7.9%	8.2%	13.3%
State and local	78.5%	85.4%	87.0%	89.4%	86.1%	82.7%
State government	32.6%	11.2%	13.5%	38.6%	22.3%	9.0%
Local government	67.4%	88.8%	86.5%	61.4%	77.7%	91.0%

	Bullock	Butler	Calhoun	Chambers	Cherokee	Chilton
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	4,384	10,156	66,657	14,534	8,889	13,626
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	76.1%	75.0%	83.5%	75.6%	67.5%	76.1%
Proprietors employment	23.9%	25.0%	16.5%	24.4%	32.5%	23.9%
Farm proprietors employment	28.0%	18.2%	6.0%	9.7%	17.9%	20.9%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	72.0%	81.8%	94.0%	90.3%	82.1%	79.1%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	12.3%	5.1%	1.2%	2.6%	9.2%	5.6%
Nonfarm employment	87.7%	94.9%	98.8%	97.4%	90.8%	94.4%
Private employment	78.3%	88.0%	78.0%	88.1%	82.3%	83.5%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	5.3%	4.5%	(D)	2.0%	(D)	(D)
Mining	0.0%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Utilities	(D)	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	(D)	(D)
Construction	(D)	7.5%	7.0%	9.0%	10.9%	14.6%
Manufacturing	(D)	16.3%	14.8%	24.9%	18.4%	16.4%
Wholesale trade	1.2%	2.5%	5.9%	2.0%	3.3%	3.5%
Retail trade	(D)	14.8%	15.6%	12.7%	19.1%	16.8%
Transportation / warehousing	(D)	3.6%	3.0%	2.6%	(D)	(D)
Information	(D)	2.7%	2.1%	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Finance and insurance	3.0%	2.9%	2.6%	1.8%	2.5%	3.4%
Real estate, rental, leasing	3.4%	3.3%	2.8%	1.9%	4.7%	2.8%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	2.5%	(D)	4.9%	2.8%	2.7%	(D)
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	(D)	(D)	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	(D)
Admin. and waste services	(D)	5.6%	9.5%	8.5%	4.5%	4.5%
Educational services	(D)	(D)	1.4%	(D)	(L)	0.2%
Health care, social assistance	12.9%	(D)	10.0%	(D)	6.5%	8.4%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.1%	(D)	1.1%	1.3%	1.2%	0.7%
Accommodation, food services	3.5%	(D)	10.1%	6.6%	6.6%	8.4%
Other svcs, except pub admin	(D)	8.8%	7.9%	9.1%	10.5%	9.9%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	21.7%	12.0%	22.0%	11.9%	17.7%	16.5%
Federal, civilian	4.3%	3.5%	38.5%	3.1%	4.0%	3.4%
Military	7.3%	9.9%	4.6%	11.6%	9.7%	11.3%
State and local	88.4%	86.6%	56.9%	85.3%	86.3%	85.3%
State government	(D)	11.9%	24.0%	11.2%	7.9%	15.6%
Local government	(D)	88.1%	76.0%	88.8%	92.1%	84.4%

	Choctaw	Clarke	Clay	Cleburne	Coffee	Colbert
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	5,597	13,822	7,035	4,696	22,853	29,413
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	79.8%	76.3%	74.6%	60.8%	74.5%	81.0%
Proprietors employment	20.2%	23.7%	25.4%	39.2%	25.5%	19.0%
Farm proprietors employment	21.0%	8.0%	23.1%	19.3%	14.4%	10.6%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	79.0%	92.0%	76.9%	80.7%	85.6%	89.4%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	4.4%	2.1%	7.1%	9.0%	4.4%	2.3%
Nonfarm employment	95.6%	97.9%	92.9%	91.0%	95.6%	97.7%
Private employment	87.0%	83.8%	82.4%	78.0%	86.9%	78.9%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	8.0%	5.2%	3.3%	(D)	2.5%	0.5%
Mining	0.6%	0.2%	(L)	(D)	(L)	0.5%
Utilities	(D)	0.7%	(D)	(D)	0.5%	(D)
Construction	7.0%	7.1%	7.9%	23.8%	7.9%	10.9%
Manufacturing	28.9%	20.1%	45.9%	16.2%	16.6%	17.1%
Wholesale trade	(D)	1.8%	(D)	(D)	2.1%	5.2%
Retail trade	10.3%	17.3%	10.1%	17.7%	17.4%	15.4%
Transportation / warehousing	4.7%	4.0%	4.1%	3.7%	2.6%	(D)
Information	1.0%	0.7%	(D)	0.6%	0.9%	0.6%
Finance and insurance	3.0%	4.3%	2.7%	2.7%	3.7%	4.4%
Real estate, rental, leasing	2.7%	2.6%	1.6%	3.0%	4.4%	2.8%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	2.3%	(D)	(D)	3.1%	5.5%	(D)
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.0%	(D)	0.0%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Admin. and waste services	2.3%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7.1%
Educational services	(D)	(D)	(D)	0.3%	1.1%	0.8%
Health care, social assistance	(D)	(D)	(D)	3.7%	11.0%	8.1%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	0.6%	(D)	0.4%	0.8%	1.6%	1.3%
Accommodation, food services	4.2%	(D)	3.2%	4.2%	7.4%	8.5%
Other svcs, except pub admin	10.4%	12.1%	7.2%	11.3%	8.3%	9.0%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	13.0%	16.2%	17.6%	22.0%	13.1%	21.1%
Federal, civilian	4.2%	4.3%	4.4%	5.9%	6.7%	21.3%
Military	11.5%	6.8%	6.8%	8.8%	9.1%	5.1%
State and local	84.4%	88.9%	88.8%	85.3%	84.2%	73.6%
State government	20.4%	24.2%	7.8%	15.5%	21.5%	20.6%
Local government	79.6%	75.8%	92.2%	84.5%	78.5%	79.4%

	Conecuh	Coosa	Covington	Crenshaw	Cullman	Dale
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	5,858	2,617	19,393	6,206	43,008	28,450
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	76.1%	73.9%	77.8%	66.8%	71.4%	85.1%
Proprietors employment	23.9%	26.1%	22.2%	33.2%	28.6%	14.9%
Farm proprietors employment	27.3%	32.3%	21.8%	24.5%	18.0%	10.5%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	72.7%	67.7%	78.2%	75.5%	82.0%	89.5%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	6.9%	8.5%	5.4%	9.1%	6.0%	1.8%
Nonfarm employment	93.1%	91.5%	94.6%	90.9%	94.0%	98.2%
Private employment	82.9%	79.4%	86.5%	86.9%	88.4%	66.0%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	(D)	3.8%	(D)	4.9%	(D)	(D)
Mining	(D)	(L)	(D)	(L)	(D)	(D)
Utilities	0.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)	0.4%	0.4%
Construction	5.6%	7.6%	9.0%	10.4%	11.9%	8.1%
Manufacturing	12.0%	(D)	16.8%	19.5%	15.8%	29.8%
Wholesale trade	9.2%	1.9%	5.0%	7.6%	3.6%	1.1%
Retail trade	9.0%	(D)	15.2%	10.2%	13.6%	11.8%
Transportation / warehousing	19.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)	8.8%	4.5%
Information	0.8%	(D)	1.4%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%
Finance and insurance	2.6%	(D)	3.2%	2.9%	3.1%	2.2%
Real estate, rental, leasing	0.6%	(D)	3.2%	2.3%	3.5%	2.9%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	1.9%	2.5%	6.7%	3.3%	3.7%	5.5%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.6%	(D)	3.4%	0.0%	0.3%	1.6%
Admin. and waste services	4.1%	(D)	3.6%	3.7%	5.1%	3.9%
Educational services	(D)	(L)	(D)	(D)	0.3%	5.6%
Health care, social assistance	(D)	6.4%	(D)	(D)	11.5%	5.8%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	(D)	(D)	0.9%	(D)	1.0%	0.7%
Accommodation, food services	(D)	(D)	6.4%	(D)	6.9%	7.4%
Other svcs, except pub admin	8.5%	9.4%	7.3%	8.5%	7.3%	7.1%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	17.1%	20.6%	13.5%	13.1%	11.6%	34.0%
Federal, civilian	3.7%	5.1%	5.1%	6.2%	5.1%	29.2%
Military	7.9%	12.3%	8.4%	10.6%	9.7%	46.3%
State and local	88.4%	82.6%	86.5%	83.2%	85.3%	24.5%
State government	33.4%	(D)	22.4%	9.1%	21.6%	9.2%
Local government	66.6%	(D)	77.6%	90.9%	78.4%	90.8%

	Dallas	DeKalb	Elmore	Escambia	Etowah	Fayette
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	21,281	36,563	27,251	17,527	49,747	7,615
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	81.3%	71.2%	72.8%	80.1%	79.4%	72.4%
Proprietors employment	18.7%	28.8%	27.2%	19.9%	20.6%	27.6%
Farm proprietors employment	11.4%	20.7%	8.1%	11.4%	9.2%	16.2%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	88.6%	79.3%	91.9%	88.6%	90.8%	83.8%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	3.0%	6.6%	2.4%	2.6%	2.0%	4.6%
Nonfarm employment	97.0%	93.4%	97.6%	97.4%	98.0%	95.4%
Private employment	84.0%	90.0%	84.5%	79.7%	87.4%	79.5%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	2.4%	(D)	0.8%	2.4%	(D)	4.5%
Mining	0.2%	(D)	0.3%	1.9%	(D)	2.0%
Utilities	0.7%	(D)	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	(D)
Construction	5.2%	8.5%	12.6%	9.1%	7.7%	9.0%
Manufacturing	23.8%	30.3%	13.7%	19.3%	13.8%	24.7%
Wholesale trade	2.0%	3.9%	1.6%	2.7%	3.5%	(D)
Retail trade	14.5%	12.7%	15.0%	16.1%	14.1%	15.6%
Transportation / warehousing	3.1%	(D)	1.7%	4.5%	3.4%	4.2%
Information	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	2.3%	1.3%	0.8%
Finance and insurance	2.4%	2.7%	3.4%	4.7%	3.5%	3.2%
Real estate, rental, leasing	2.8%	2.0%	4.5%	2.7%	3.5%	2.3%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	2.3%	2.5%	4.9%	(D)	3.5%	2.8%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	(D)	0.3%	(D)
Admin. and waste services	3.9%	6.1%	6.0%	3.9%	7.8%	(D)
Educational services	2.1%	0.7%	2.3%	(D)	0.9%	(D)
Health care, social assistance	14.4%	8.5%	10.5%	(D)	17.1%	(D)
Arts, entertainment, recreation	0.9%	1.0%	2.1%	1.5%	1.5%	(D)
Accommodation, food services	6.2%	6.2%	9.8%	6.9%	9.0%	(D)
Other svcs, except pub admin	11.4%	8.4%	9.0%	8.5%	8.2%	9.0%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	16.0%	10.0%	15.5%	20.3%	12.6%	20.5%
Federal, civilian	4.5%	5.2%	3.6%	1.7%	5.7%	3.1%
Military	7.4%	11.3%	10.6%	6.1%	9.5%	6.7%
State and local	88.1%	83.5%	85.7%	92.2%	84.7%	90.2%
State government	25.4%	10.5%	30.5%	24.6%	23.1%	25.5%
Local government	74.6%	89.5%	69.5%	75.4%	76.9%	74.5%

	Franklin	Geneva	Greene	Hale	Henry	Houston
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	15,176	10,284	3,531	5,617	6,836	64,930
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	79.1%	69.4%	71.7%	68.2%	75.0%	84.6%
Proprietors employment	20.9%	30.6%	28.3%	31.8%	25.0%	15.4%
Farm proprietors employment	27.6%	29.5%	28.4%	25.0%	21.0%	7.4%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	72.4%	70.5%	71.6%	75.0%	79.0%	92.6%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	6.4%	10.7%	9.8%	9.8%	7.4%	1.4%
Nonfarm employment	93.6%	89.3%	90.2%	90.2%	92.6%	98.6%
Private employment	85.1%	79.9%	77.6%	78.4%	85.5%	86.2%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	1.4%	(D)	(D)	5.0%	(D)	(D)
Mining	0.6%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Utilities	(D)	0.9%	(D)	(D)	0.8%	(D)
Construction	5.7%	11.7%	7.1%	10.6%	12.2%	8.0%
Manufacturing	37.6%	18.4%	13.3%	23.3%	19.7%	9.9%
Wholesale trade	2.5%	5.0%	(D)	(D)	3.2%	5.2%
Retail trade	11.0%	16.0%	11.6%	12.6%	9.5%	17.1%
Transportation / warehousing	(D)	3.2%	3.1%	4.2%	7.4%	(D)
Information	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	(D)	1.7%
Finance and insurance	3.9%	3.8%	1.6%	3.0%	2.7%	3.2%
Real estate, rental, leasing	1.8%	3.2%	2.9%	2.0%	3.5%	4.5%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	2.5%	(D)	2.4%	3.3%	3.3%	4.1%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.4%	(D)	0.0%	(D)	(D)	0.3%
Admin. and waste services	5.6%	7.2%	3.8%	(D)	(D)	7.2%
Educational services	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1.2%
Health care, social assistance	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	13.0%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	0.5%	(D)	(D)	0.6%	0.8%	1.3%
Accommodation, food services	5.5%	(D)	(D)	4.1%	3.8%	9.9%
Other svcs, except pub admin	6.9%	8.8%	11.7%	10.8%	(D)	6.8%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	14.9%	20.1%	22.4%	21.6%	14.5%	13.8%
Federal, civilian	4.5%	3.7%	5.3%	4.9%	5.1%	3.8%
Military	8.1%	7.9%	7.3%	9.3%	10.3%	6.2%
State and local	87.3%	88.4%	87.4%	85.8%	84.6%	89.9%
State government	22.4%	8.8%	12.5%	11.0%	10.3%	16.3%
Local government	77.6%	91.2%	87.5%	89.0%	89.7%	83.7%

	Jackson	Jefferson	Lamar	Lauderdale	Lawrence
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	25,683	480,231	6,058	45,287	12,146
Employment by Type					
Wage and salary employment	74.1%	83.7%	70.0%	78.2%	59.2%
Proprietors employment	25.9%	16.3%	30.0%	21.8%	40.8%
Farm proprietors employment	21.0%	0.6%	22.9%	14.6%	27.8%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	79.0%	99.4%	77.1%	85.4%	72.2%
Employment by Industry					
Farm employment	5.9%	0.1%	7.0%	3.5%	12.5%
Nonfarm employment	94.1%	99.9%	93.0%	96.5%	87.5%
Private employment	81.8%	86.4%	86.8%	84.2%	83.7%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	1.0%	0.1%	(D)	0.9%	(D)
Mining	0.4%	0.5%	(D)	(L)	(D)
Utilities	(D)	1.1%	(D)	(D)	1.4%
Construction	7.7%	7.5%	6.8%	7.9%	13.6%
Manufacturing	31.6%	7.5%	25.3%	10.4%	16.6%
Wholesale trade	4.3%	5.9%	(D)	5.1%	2.3%
Retail trade	16.8%	12.5%	11.6%	18.0%	15.8%
Transportation / warehousing	(D)	3.4%	13.0%	(D)	3.9%
Information	0.5%	2.6%	0.8%	1.5%	(D)
Finance and insurance	2.9%	7.1%	3.7%	3.6%	2.4%
Real estate, rental, leasing	2.5%	4.8%	1.6%	4.3%	2.7%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	3.7%	8.0%	2.9%	4.2%	(D)
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.1%	(D)
Admin. and waste services	4.4%	7.2%	3.0%	10.0%	5.6%
Educational services	0.3%	1.9%	0.2%	1.7%	(D)
Health care, social assistance	5.9%	12.7%	10.8%	9.9%	(D)
Arts, entertainment, recreation	0.7%	1.8%	0.6%	1.6%	(D)
Accommodation, food services	6.0%	7.0%	4.5%	9.7%	(D)
Other svcs, except pub admin	7.3%	6.8%	9.0%	9.5%	(D)
Gov't and gov't enterprises	18.2%	13.6%	13.2%	15.8%	16.3%
Federal, civilian	12.6%	12.4%	5.6%	4.4%	5.1%
Military	6.8%	6.1%	11.0%	7.3%	11.1%
State and local	80.6%	81.5%	83.4%	88.4%	83.8%
State government	17.8%	43.8%	13.5%	22.5%	12.0%
Local government	82.2%	56.2%	86.5%	77.5%	88.0%

	Lee	Limestone	Lowndes	Macon	Madison	Marengo
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	68,493	41,169	5,403	8,754	221,637	10,655
Employment by Type						
Wage and salary employment	82.1%	63.1%	69.5%	79.0%	87.7%	80.6%
Proprietors employment	17.9%	36.9%	30.5%	21.0%	12.3%	19.4%
Farm proprietors employment	2.8%	8.2%	21.3%	17.7%	3.8%	23.9%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	97.2%	91.8%	78.7%	82.3%	96.2%	76.1%
Employment by Industry						
Farm employment	0.7%	3.5%	8.8%	4.5%	0.5%	5.7%
Nonfarm employment	99.3%	96.5%	91.2%	95.5%	99.5%	94.3%
Private employment	76.2%	82.8%	85.8%	73.8%	81.6%	80.2%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)	0.1%	6.0%
Mining	0.2%	(D)	(D)	0.8%	0.1%	(L)
Utilities	0.3%	(L)	(D)	0.0%	(D)	(D)
Construction	8.7%	10.8%	12.1%	5.7%	5.7%	5.8%
Manufacturing	13.6%	16.4%	33.2%	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%
Wholesale trade	2.9%	2.6%	(D)	1.3%	3.1%	(D)
Retail trade	14.5%	15.8%	7.0%	9.5%	13.3%	15.2%
Transportation / warehousing	4.1%	3.1%	5.0%	1.5%	(D)	4.4%
Information	2.0%	1.3%	(D)	0.4%	1.3%	1.0%
Finance and insurance	3.0%	2.9%	2.7%	1.5%	2.8%	3.9%
Real estate, rental, leasing	4.3%	5.2%	2.3%	2.6%	3.8%	3.3%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	5.7%	10.6%	(D)	3.0%	18.1%	(D)
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)	0.4%	(D)
Admin. and waste services	9.0%	(D)	(D)	(D)	8.7%	3.5%
Educational services	1.8%	1.2%	(D)	(D)	1.6%	(D)
Health care, social assistance	7.4%	6.3%	(D)	(D)	7.8%	(D)
Arts, entertainment, recreation	2.2%	1.9%	(D)	(D)	1.5%	0.7%
Accommodation, food services	11.7%	5.8%	(D)	(D)	8.3%	6.7%
Other svcs, except pub admin	7.8%	9.5%	(D)	10.3%	6.2%	9.9%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	23.8%	17.2%	14.2%	26.2%	18.4%	19.8%
Federal, civilian	1.9%	18.9%	5.0%	42.6%	36.0%	3.1%
Military	5.1%	6.1%	10.3%	6.2%	7.6%	6.8%
State and local	93.0%	75.0%	84.7%	51.2%	56.5%	90.1%
State government	50.7%	39.8%	12.0%	12.9%	17.7%	9.2%
Local government	49.3%	60.2%	88.0%	87.1%	82.3%	90.8%

	Marion	Marshall	Mobile	Monroe	Montgomery
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	16,048	47,232	234,728	11,889	181,292
Employment by Type					
Wage and salary employment	76.4%	84.3%	82.7%	78.7%	85.7%
Proprietors employment	23.6%	15.7%	17.3%	21.3%	14.3%
Farm proprietors employment	19.2%	22.4%	1.9%	18.1%	2.6%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	80.8%	77.6%	98.1%	81.9%	97.4%
Employment by Industry					
Farm employment	4.8%	3.9%	0.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Nonfarm employment	95.2%	96.1%	99.4%	95.8%	99.5%
Private employment	88.3%	86.0%	86.2%	84.6%	78.7%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	(D)	(D)	0.6%	4.9%	0.2%
Mining	(D)	(D)	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%
Utilities	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%
Construction	5.2%	7.2%	10.6%	3.9%	6.4%
Manufacturing	34.7%	33.4%	8.3%	32.3%	10.3%
Wholesale trade	3.1%	4.5%	4.9%	2.8%	4.2%
Retail trade	11.4%	15.0%	13.4%	12.6%	12.5%
Transportation / warehousing	5.6%	2.7%	5.0%	9.1%	3.4%
Information	0.5%	1.7%	1.4%	0.9%	1.8%
Finance and insurance	3.3%	3.0%	4.0%	2.8%	6.4%
Real estate, rental, leasing	1.5%	3.5%	4.8%	2.3%	4.2%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	7.3%	2.8%	6.5%	(D)	7.3%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	(D)	0.2%	0.3%	(D)	0.4%
Admin. and waste services	(D)	4.9%	9.0%	4.0%	10.3%
Educational services	(D)	0.4%	2.0%	(D)	2.7%
Health care, social assistance	10.1%	5.6%	11.6%	(D)	11.9%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	0.6%	0.9%	1.4%	(D)	1.9%
Accommodation, food services	5.2%	6.9%	7.4%	(D)	7.9%
Other svcs, except pub admin	6.7%	6.5%	8.1%	9.1%	7.9%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	11.7%	14.0%	13.8%	15.4%	21.3%
Federal, civilian	4.7%	4.2%	8.0%	3.4%	16.4%
Military	9.3%	7.8%	9.9%	7.4%	12.1%
State and local	86.0%	88.0%	82.2%	89.3%	71.5%
State government	25.8%	10.9%	36.7%	14.9%	65.7%
Local government	74.2%	89.1%	63.3%	85.1%	34.3%

	Morgan	Perry	Pickens	Pike	Randolph
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	65,405	3,781	6,459	18,426	8,391
Employment by Type					
Wage and salary employment	82.2%	73.4%	69.5%	84.6%	72.6%
Proprietors employment	17.8%	26.6%	30.5%	15.4%	27.4%
Farm proprietors employment	10.9%	36.3%	24.2%	21.7%	27.2%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	89.1%	63.7%	75.8%	78.3%	72.8%
Employment by Industry					
Farm employment	2.1%	11.1%	9.0%	4.0%	8.0%
Nonfarm employment	97.9%	88.9%	91.0%	96.0%	92.0%
Private employment	87.6%	77.0%	81.7%	81.3%	79.9%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.3%	3.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Mining	0.2%	0.0%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Utilities	0.1%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Construction	10.1%	7.6%	9.3%	6.8%	9.4%
Manufacturing	22.4%	17.4%	17.6%	18.5%	26.6%
Wholesale trade	3.6%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Retail trade	13.1%	12.1%	15.4%	13.1%	14.2%
Transportation / warehousing	4.1%	2.5%	4.3%	14.9%	4.0%
Information	0.9%	(D)	1.1%	1.0%	1.3%
Finance and insurance	3.9%	2.8%	3.8%	4.1%	3.4%
Real estate, rental, leasing	3.2%	1.2%	2.5%	3.6%	3.9%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	3.7%	2.1%	2.8%	2.6%	2.6%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.9%	(D)	(D)	0.8%	0.0%
Admin. and waste services	9.6%	(D)	(D)	3.4%	3.5%
Educational services	0.5%	14.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Health care, social assistance	8.1%	13.3%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.1%	0.5%	0.9%	0.8%	(D)
Accommodation, food services	7.4%	5.0%	4.7%	9.5%	(D)
Other svcs, except pub admin	6.7%	(D)	10.4%	5.7%	9.6%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	12.4%	23.0%	18.3%	18.7%	20.1%
Federal, civilian	3.6%	3.5%	5.4%	2.7%	3.4%
Military	8.2%	8.7%	10.3%	5.2%	8.2%
State and local	88.2%	87.9%	84.3%	92.0%	88.4%
State government	12.5%	11.0%	16.7%	57.7%	17.2%
Local government	87.5%	89.0%	83.3%	42.3%	82.8%


	Russell	St. Clair	Shelby	Sumter	Talladega
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	17,484	26,148	99,331	5,736	38,451
Employment by Type					
Wage and salary employment	81.7%	74.0%	86.5%	75.2%	84.3%
Proprietors employment	18.3%	26.0%	13.5%	24.8%	15.7%
Farm proprietors employment	8.1%	9.1%	3.2%	27.5%	9.1%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	91.9%	90.9%	96.8%	72.5%	90.9%
Employment by Industry					
Farm employment	1.7%	2.8%	0.6%	7.7%	1.6%
Nonfarm employment	98.3%	97.2%	99.4%	92.3%	98.4%
Private employment	81.6%	86.7%	90.6%	72.0%	84.5%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	(D)	(D)	0.3%	3.7%	0.5%
Mining	(D)	(D)	0.6%	(L)	1.4%
Utilities	0.5%	0.4%	2.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Construction	11.1%	16.0%	11.3%	5.4%	7.6%
Manufacturing	17.4%	10.8%	7.4%	14.8%	31.5%
Wholesale trade	1.7%	6.4%	7.6%	5.8%	3.1%
Retail trade	17.0%	16.0%	12.1%	13.4%	11.7%
Transportation / warehousing	2.5%	3.0%	1.5%	7.4%	3.1%
Information	(D)	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%	0.6%
Finance and insurance	4.3%	3.0%	9.2%	2.7%	2.6%
Real estate, rental, leasing	4.2%	4.2%	3.3%	2.9%	2.4%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	2.7%	4.4%	7.8%	2.6%	2.8%
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.3%	0.3%	2.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Admin. and waste services	3.4%	5.7%	8.4%	9.4%	6.2%
Educational services	(D)	0.3%	1.2%	(D)	1.1%
Health care, social assistance	(D)	7.9%	7.4%	(D)	9.7%
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.1%	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%	1.1%
Accommodation, food services	10.7%	9.0%	7.2%	7.8%	6.5%
Other svcs, except pub admin	(D)	9.7%	6.7%	9.5%	7.4%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	18.4%	13.3%	9.4%	28.0%	15.5%
Federal, civilian	3.1%	3.4%	3.1%	2.8%	8.1%
Military	8.9%	13.1%	11.1%	5.1%	7.7%
State and local	88.0%	83.6%	85.8%	92.2%	84.2%
State government	23.4%	16.9%	13.9%	46.8%	38.0%
Local government	76.6%	83.1%	86.1%	53.2%	62.0%

	Tuscaloosa	Walker	Washington	Wilcox	Winston
Total Employment (no. of jobs)	19,096	111,182	34,186	6,406	4,251
Employment by Type					
Wage and salary employment	86.3%	61.8%	72.6%	83.6%	80.3%
Proprietors employment	13.7%	38.2%	27.4%	16.4%	19.7%
Farm proprietors employment	3.5%	3.6%	23.2%	38.5%	26.2%
Nonfarm proprietors employment ²	96.5%	96.4%	76.8%	61.5%	73.8%
Employment by Industry					
Farm employment	0.5%	1.5%	7.4%	7.2%	5.6%
Nonfarm employment	99.5%	98.5%	92.6%	92.8%	94.4%
Private employment	78.2%	88.5%	80.4%	75.2%	88.4%
Forestry, fishing, and other ³	0.5%	1.0%	(D)	8.6%	(D)
Mining	3.4%	2.0%	(D)	(L)	(D)
Utilities	0.3%	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Construction	9.4%	9.1%	13.8%	7.0%	6.1%
Manufacturing	16.6%	7.2%	27.8%	27.1%	42.8%
Wholesale trade	2.6%	(D)	(D)	2.4%	3.6%
Retail trade	14.1%	16.2%	9.9%	13.3%	10.2%
Transportation / warehousing	2.8%	3.7%	3.6%	(D)	(D)
Information	1.4%	0.9%	(D)	2.1%	0.8%
Finance and insurance	3.3%	4.0%	(D)	4.2%	2.8%
Real estate, rental, leasing	4.8%	5.0%	(D)	1.0%	1.7%
Prof., scientific, and tech svcs.	5.3%	5.9%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Mgmt of companies, enterprises	0.4%	0.6%	(D)	0.0%	(D)
Admin. and waste services	6.8%	6.8%	6.2%	(D)	4.9%
Educational services	1.8%	0.8%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Health care, social assistance	8.3%	12.9%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Arts, entertainment, recreation	1.4%	2.4%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Accommodation, food services	9.9%	6.9%	(D)	(D)	(D)
Other svcs, except pub admin	6.9%	11.1%	(D)	11.8%	5.6%
Gov't and gov't enterprises	21.8%	11.5%	19.6%	24.8%	11.6%
Federal, civilian	5.9%	4.4%	3.3%	7.0%	6.0%
Military	4.2%	10.1%	8.4%	7.4%	10.5%
State and local	89.9%	85.5%	88.4%	85.6%	83.5%
State government	48.5%	21.2%	7.9%	15.6%	11.5%
Local government	51.5%	78.8%	92.1%	84.4%	88.5%

Source: *Regional Economic Information System, Bureau of Economic Analysis, US Department of Commerce, Table CA25N, April 2009*

Footnotes for Table CA25N:

1. The estimates of employment for 2001-2006 are based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The estimates for 2007 are based on the 2007 NAICS.
2. Excludes limited partners.
3. Other consists of the number of jobs held by U.S. residents employed by international organizations and foreign embassies and consulates in the United States.



EMPLOYMENT & UNEMPLOYMENT IN 2009

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS
 DATA SOURCE: ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS 2009

CEDS Indicators: Workforce Development and Use

Indicator:	Unemployment
Measure:	BLS Monthly unemployment by county/region/state
Baseline:	December 2008 Alabama Unemployment Rate
Data source:	Bureau of Labor Statistics, State and Local Unemployment Rates, http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?la
Frequency of review:	Monthly


Unemployment indicates the degree to which an economy is struggling to provide sufficient job opportunities for the population of an area. High unemployment is associated with reductions in wealth and savings and a greater strain on government services. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reports unemployment monthly based on claims data. Unemployment statistics reflect only the count of people currently receiving unemployment benefits and are therefore skewed to the degree that they fail to reflect joblessness among individuals who are beyond the period of their benefit eligibility and are no longer engaged in job searches through career centers or their equivalents.

Unemployment has changed dramatically in recent years. The following charts are merely illustrative of unemployment data but are not up to date. Due to frequency of update, individual regions must review their unemployment data regularly.

Unemployment by Region (for illustrative purposes)

Region	Civilian Labor Force	Employment	Unemployed	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate
	Nov 09 Revised	Nov 09 Revised	Nov 09 Revised	Nov 09 Revised	Dec 09 Revised
Region 1	98,437	86,891	11,546	11.73%	8.50%
Region 2	123,121	111,251	11,870	9.64%	6.17%
Region 3	497,722	450,829	46,893	9.42%	5.72%
Region 4	198,880	174,727	24,153	12.14%	7.96%
Region 5	47,210	41,604	5,606	11.87%	8.02%
Region 6	68,433	56,478	11,955	17.47%	10.85%
Region 7	126,431	114,805	11,626	9.20%	6.13%
Region 8	275,049	246,106	28,943	10.52%	6.31%
Region 9	159,743	144,688	15,055	9.42%	6.43%
Region 10	82,796	74,854	7,942	9.59%	6.05%
Region 11	106,663	95,808	10,855	10.18%	6.34%
Region 12	288,159	262,957	25,202	8.75%	5.40%

Source: Alabama Department of Industrial Relations. Estimates prepared by the Alabama Department of Industrial Relations in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, based on 2008 benchmark. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission.



Source: Alabama Department of Industrial Relations. Estimates prepared by the Alabama Department of Industrial Relations in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, based on 2008 benchmark. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission.

Unemployment Rate and Change by County
(for illustrative purposes)

Area	December 2008 Revised	November 2009 Revised	Change from December 08 to November 09
US, Seasonally Adjusted	7.20%	10.00%	2.80%
US, Not Seasonally Adjusted	7.10%	9.40%	2.30%
Alabama, Seasonally Adjusted	6.50%	10.50%	4.00%
Alabama, Not Seasonally Adjusted	6.50%	10.20%	3.70%
Autauga	5.90%	9.00%	3.10%
Baldwin	5.70%	9.60%	3.90%
Barbour	8.10%	14.00%	5.90%
Bibb	6.70%	9.90%	3.20%
Blount	5.80%	9.10%	3.30%
Bullock	11.50%	17.00%	5.50%
Butler	10.20%	16.30%	6.10%
Calhoun	6.60%	10.50%	3.90%
Chambers	14.50%	18.10%	3.60%
Cherokee	6.60%	9.80%	3.20%
Chilton	6.10%	9.80%	3.70%
Choctaw	8.40%	12.50%	4.10%
Clarke	9.90%	15.90%	6.00%
Clay	9.90%	16.40%	6.50%
Cleburne	6.30%	9.00%	2.70%
Coffee	5.20%	7.80%	2.60%
Colbert	7.70%	10.50%	2.80%
Conecuh	11.20%	19.80%	8.60%
Coosa	10.20%	14.10%	3.90%
Covington	6.70%	9.50%	2.80%
Crenshaw	6.30%	9.60%	3.30%
Cullman	6.10%	9.30%	3.20%
Dale	6.10%	9.10%	3.00%
Dallas	12.90%	20.30%	7.40%
DeKalb	7.20%	13.40%	6.20%
Elmore	6.30%	8.70%	2.40%
Escambia	8.60%	12.50%	3.90%
Etowah	6.70%	10.20%	3.50%
Fayette	7.80%	12.90%	5.10%
Franklin	9.50%	12.20%	2.70%
Geneva	6.90%	9.60%	2.70%
Greene	8.80%	14.40%	5.60%

Area	December 2008 Revised	November 2009 Revised	Change from December 08 to November 09
Hale	8.70%	12.20%	3.50%
Henry	7.10%	9.20%	2.10%
Houston	5.60%	8.60%	3.00%
Jackson	7.70%	11.60%	3.90%
Jefferson	6.00%	9.70%	3.70%
Lamar	9.30%	14.20%	4.90%
Lauderdale	6.80%	10.00%	3.20%
Lawrence	7.60%	12.70%	5.10%
Lee	5.60%	8.80%	3.20%
Limestone	5.60%	9.20%	3.60%
Lowndes	12.40%	15.70%	3.30%
Macon	7.80%	11.40%	3.60%
Madison	4.60%	7.30%	2.70%
Marengo	8.60%	13.50%	4.90%
Marion	10.80%	15.00%	4.20%
Marshall	5.60%	9.30%	3.70%
Mobile	6.40%	10.80%	4.40%
Monroe	11.70%	21.00%	9.30%
Montgomery	6.60%	9.80%	3.20%
Morgan	6.20%	10.10%	3.90%
Perry	12.60%	17.90%	5.30%
Pickens	7.70%	12.50%	4.80%
Pike	5.40%	8.10%	2.70%
Randolph	8.40%	15.20%	6.80%
Russell	7.40%	12.10%	4.70%
St. Clair	6.00%	10.30%	4.30%
Shelby	4.40%	7.20%	2.80%
Sumter	9.00%	14.00%	5.00%
Talladega	8.70%	13.60%	4.90%
Tallapoosa	8.10%	14.00%	5.90%
Tuscaloosa	5.40%	8.40%	3.00%
Walker	6.30%	12.10%	5.80%
Washington	8.70%	14.10%	5.40%
Wilcox	15.20%	24.40%	9.20%
Winston	13.30%	17.90%	4.60%

Source: Alabama Department of Industrial Relations. Estimates prepared by the Alabama Department of Industrial Relations in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, based on 2008 benchmark.

CEDS Indicators: Transportation Access

Indicator:	Highway Transportation
Measure:	Interstate Interchanges and NHS Highway mileage within county/region/state
Baseline:	Existing Interstate interchanges and NHS highway mileage
Data source:	ALDOT: Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan: Figure 2.2; Transportation Planning Bureau, Special Studies Division
Frequency of review:	Annual

Highway transportation access, for the purposes of this effort, is measured by the existence of interstate interchanges and mileage of other highways of the National Highway System (NHS) within counties and regions respectively as compared to the state. The NHS includes Interstates, the Strategic Highway Network, Major Strategic Highway Network Connectors, Intermodal Connectors and Other Principal Arterials. Interstate interchanges are measured instead of mileage since interstates are exclusively limited access. Although a rough measure, the two indicators taken together gives an indication of the extent of surface transportation access within a given geographic area. The Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan contains maps illustrating the location of these features. The Special Studies Division will need to be contacted for up-to-date mileage information.

CEDS Indicators: Transportation Access

Indicator:	Air Transportation
Measure:	Commercial and general aviation airports within county/region/state
Baseline:	Existing commercial airports and general aviation airports
Data source:	ALDOT: Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan: Figure 2.18
Frequency of review:	Annual

Air transportation is measured by the existence of general aviation airports within a county or region and the existence of commercial aviation airports within a sixty mile radius of a county or region to show proximity. The existence of air transportation facilities by this indicator gives a general idea of the extent of air transportation access within a geographic area. The location of these facilities is shown in Figure 2.18 of the Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan. More details regarding the services and functions of individual airports are contained in the Alabama Statewide Airport System Plan.

CEDS Indicators: Transportation Access

Indicator:	Rail and Water Access
Measure:	Water port or rail intermodal facilities within county/region/state
Baseline:	Existing water port or rail intermodal facilities
Data source:	ALDOT: Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan
Frequency of review:	Annual

Rail and Water Access is indicated by the proximity of counties and regions to intermodal and dock facilities as shown in the Alabama Statewide Transportation Plan, Figure 2.1 Intermodal Facilities and Corridors, Figure 2.16 Navigable Waterways and Dock Facilities, and Figure 2.17 Railroad Facilities.


Transportation Resources by Region

Region	Total Inventoried Interstate and State Roads	Interstate Road (in miles)	Interstate Interchanges	State Roads (in miles)	County Roads (in miles)	Commercial Airport	General Aviation Airport	Class I Rail Lines	Class II Rail Lines	Class III Rail Lines	Dock/Port Facility	Intermodal Facility or Connector
Region 1	767	0	0	767	4,875	1	5	8	0	3	0	1
Region 2	1,042	77	15	966	6,120	0	8	9	2	3	1	0
Region 3	1,290	235	72	1,055	7,614	1	6	22	0	3	1	7
Region 4	1,310	88	17	1,222	8,848	0	9	15	0	3	0	0
Region 5	749	82	12	667	3,725	0	6	6	0	3	0	1
Region 6	1,563	57	9	1,507	7,343	0	10	10	3	7	3	1
Region 7	1,224	0	0	1,224	6,039	1	11	2	0	11	2	3
Region 8	793	147	34	646	4,329	1	9	5	2	1	2	5
Region 9	469	58	15	411	2,504	1	2	9	0	0	1	0
Region 10	264	22	7	242	1,642	0	1	3	0	0	1	0
Region 11	473	46	10	427	3,664	0	3	6	0	0	0	0
Region 12	919	94	11	825	6,397	1	7	5	0	4	1	3

Source: Alabama Department of Transportation

State Roads includes non-interstate federal roads and state roads. County road inventory includes data as of March 2010.

Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission.



Highway Transportation Resources by Region

	Total Roadway (in miles)	Interstate Highways (% of Total)	State Highways (% of Total)	County Roads (% of Total)	Paved County (% of County)	Unpaved County (% of County)
Region 1	5,641.71	0.0%	13.6%	86.4%	79.9%	20.1%
Region 2	7,162.03	1.1%	13.5%	85.4%	61.3%	38.7%
Region 3	8,904.28	2.6%	11.9%	85.5%	85.9%	14.1%
Region 4	10,157.68	0.9%	12.0%	87.1%	74.7%	25.3%
Region 5	4,474.39	1.8%	14.9%	83.3%	61.8%	38.2%
Region 6	8,906.87	0.6%	16.9%	82.4%	53.4%	46.6%
Region 7	7,263.56	0.0%	16.9%	83.1%	65.4%	34.6%
Region 8	5,121.57	2.9%	12.6%	84.5%	75.6%	24.4%
Region 9	2,972.65	1.9%	13.8%	84.2%	76.4%	23.6%
Region 10	1,905.92	1.1%	12.7%	86.1%	74.4%	25.6%
Region 11	4,136.70	1.1%	10.3%	88.6%	82.0%	18.0%
Region 12	7,315.63	1.3%	11.3%	87.4%	90.3%	9.7%
Total	73,963.00	1.2%	13.5%	85.3%	73.2%	26.8%


Source: Alabama Department of Transportation
 State Roads includes non-interstate federal roads and state roads. County road inventory includes data as of March 2010.
 Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission.

Transportation Resources by County

County	Total Interstate and State Roads (in miles)	Interstate Roads (in miles)	Interstate Interchanges	State Roads (in miles)	County Roads (in miles)	# of Commercial Airports	# of General Aviation Airports	# of Class I Rail Lines	# of Class II Rail Lines	# of Class III Rail Lines	# of Dock/Port Facilities	# of Intermodal Facilities or Connectors
Autauga	105.38	16.45	2	88.93	733	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Baldwin	355.17	59.58	9	295.59	1,692	0	4	1	1	0	0	0
Barbour	180.01	0.00	0	180.01	707	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
Bibb	125.88	0.00	0	125.88	640	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Blount	167.00	6.92	2	160.08	1,160	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Bullock	128.37	0.00	0	128.37	430	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Butler	152.76	34.43	4	118.34	739	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Calhoun	158.35	11.25	3	147.11	987	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Chambers	102.26	10.64	3	91.62	833	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	121.10	0.00	0	121.10	820	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chilton	153.16	27.93	5	125.23	1,112	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Choctaw	114.60	0.00	0	114.60	660	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Clarke	162.13	0.00	0	162.13	862	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Clay	120.23	0.00	0	120.23	765	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Cleburne	107.80	20.96	3	86.84	705	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Coffee	234.54	0.00	0	234.54	813	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Colbert	95.82	0.00	0	95.82	718	1	0	4	0	1	0	1
Conecuh	124.73	29.77	5	94.97	836	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Coosa	111.40	0.00	0	111.40	651	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Covington	184.22	0.00	0	184.22	1,315	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Crenshaw	100.49	0.00	0	100.49	705	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Cullman	188.66	26.89	5	161.77	1,615	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Dale	168.07	0.00	0	168.07	606	1	1	1	0	2	0	0
Dallas	182.16	0.00	0	182.16	835	0	1	2	0	2	1	0
DeKalb	255.09	42.49	5	212.60	1,598	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Elmore	164.71	4.89	2	159.82	1,083	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Escambia	156.27	27.92	3	128.36	924	0	2	1	1	1	0	0
Etowah	171.39	27.75	5	143.63	976	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Fayette	151.81	0.00	0	151.81	870	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Franklin	133.47	0.00	0	133.47	898	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Geneva	151.27	0.00	0	151.27	945	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Greene	119.15	28.26	4	90.90	454	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Hale	129.86	0.00	0	129.86	617	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Henry	137.11	0.00	0	137.11	673	0	2	0	0	1	0	0

County	Total Interstate and State Roads (in miles)	Interstate Roads (in miles)	Interstate Interchanges	State Roads (in miles)	County Roads (in miles)	# of Commercial Airports	# of General Aviation Airports	# of Class I Rail Lines	# of Class II Rail Lines	# of Class III Rail Lines	# of Dock/Port Facilities	# of Intermodal Facilities or Connectors
Houston	169.15	0.00	0	169.15	976	0	0	1	0	3	1	0
Jackson	253.08	0.00	0	253.08	1,063	0	2	2	0	1	1	0
Jefferson	347.34	128.31	47	219.04	2,043	1	1	9	0	1	0	7
Lamar	100.16	0.00	0	100.16	923	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Lauderdale	147.60	0.00	0	147.60	1,334	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Lawrence	139.48	0.00	0	139.48	950	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Lee	138.55	21.90	7	116.65	938	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Limestone	139.95	36.64	6	103.32	1,095	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Lowndes	117.43	18.77	3	98.66	478	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Macon	126.38	28.84	5	97.54	599	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Madison	118.30	14.66	0	103.64	1,420	1	1	1	0	2	0	1
Marengo	214.11	0.00	0	214.11	610	0	1	2	1	1	1	0
Marion	281.09	0.00	0	281.09	1,015	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Marshall	152.56	0.00	0	152.56	1,218	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Mobile	281.52	59.40	22	222.12	1,712	1	3	3	0	0	2	5
Monroe	155.26	0.00	0	155.26	874	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
Montgomery	198.97	36.57	11	162.40	686	1	0	5	0	0	1	0
Morgan	144.54	18.93	5	125.62	1,098	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Perry	128.80	0.00	0	128.80	677	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Pickens	150.29	0.00	0	150.29	875	0	2		1	1	0	0
Pike	123.48	0.00	0	123.48	771	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Randolph	90.37	0.00	0	90.37	1,023	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Russell	125.67	0.00	0	125.67	703	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
St. Clair	220.82	49.33	11	171.48	1,166	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Shelby	194.15	22.62	7	171.53	848	0	1	5	0	1	0	0
Sumter	169.05	27.09	4	141.96	544	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Talladega	153.72	17.91	3	135.81	1,150	0	3	4	0	1	0	0
Tallapoosa	173.44	0.00	0	173.44	933	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Tuscaloosa	265.10	48.30	11	216.79	1,738	0	1	2	0	1	1	0
Walker	208.00	0.00	0	208.00	1,282	0	1	3	0	0	1	0
Washington	115.03	0.00	0	115.03	840	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wilcox	197.60	0.00	0	197.60	599	0	2	1	1	1	0	0
Winston	109.13	0.00	0	109.13	907	0	3	1	0	0	0	0

Source: Alabama Department of Transportation
State Roads includes non-interstate federal roads and state roads. County road inventory includes data as of March 2010.



Percent of Unpaved Public Roads

9.7%	Region 12
14.1%	Region 3
18.0%	Region 11
20.1%	Region 1
23.6%	Region 9
24.4%	Region 8
25.3%	Region 4
25.6%	Region 10
34.6%	Region 7
38.2%	Region 5
38.7%	Region 2
46.6%	Region 6

- Maritime Port
- General Aviation Airport
- Regional Airport
- International Airport

TRANSPORTATION ACCESS
ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 2009

CEDS Indicators: Resources


Indicator:	Support Assets: Industrial Parks and Sites
Measure:	Alabama Advantage Sites statewide
Baseline:	Existing parks/sites
Data source:	Alabama Advantage Property Listing, http://www.edpa.org/bsc/viewSitedetails2.asp?action=view&site_prop_id=4633
Frequency of review:	Annual

Industrial parks are a vital tool for economic development. In Alabama, the availability of land and resources to build parks for industry has been a crucial part of the success that has been had across the state in attracting new industries to the state. The site selection process requires extensive documentation and detailed information. In addition to a listing of over 400 individual parks and sites, the Economic Development Partnership of Alabama's (EDPA) Alabama Advantage Site program provides certification to sites that have completed a process demonstrating considerable due diligence has been performed to make a site ready for development. Although other equally prepared sites may exist, the Advantage Site program provides a standard of preparedness for participants.


Available Industrial Sites and Buildings by Region

Region	Industrial Sites			Industrial Buildings		
	Sites	Available Acreage	Total Acreage	Buildings	Space Available (sq. ft.)	Acreage
Region 1	34	8,259	11,161	59	3,007,639	3,568
Region 2	31	4,802	6,082	30	2,146,110	748
Region 3	45	8,578	11,403	102	9,671,033	989
Region 4	44	8,990	10,744	50	6,547,838	1,159
Region 5	17	1,818	2,672	10	576,120	97
Region 6	34	5,507	7,461	29	1,831,364	247
Region 7	22	3,261	4,042	27	2,257,218	322
Region 8	52	34,179	36,474	47	2,588,173	324
Region 9	22	39,399	42,957	34	4,789,435	490
Region 10	9	2,978	4,214	19	5,685,688	1,153
Region 11	35	5,559	6,829	23	1,723,892	915
Region 12	69	14,414	21,095	50	5,077,100	984
Total	414	137,743	165,132	480	45,901,610	10,996

Source: Economic Development Partnership of Alabama, Advantage Alabama, www.edpa.org, March 2010. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.



Source: Economic Development Partnership of Alabama, Advantage Alabama, www.edpa.org, March 2010. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.




Source: Economic Development Partnership of Alabama, Advantage Alabama, www.edpa.org, March 2010. Regional Tabulations prepared by South Central Alabama Development Commission, March 2010.

Available Industrial Sites and Buildings by County, March 2010

County	Industrial Sites			Industrial Buildings		
	Sites	Available Acreage	Total Acreage	Buildings	Space Available (sq. ft.)	Acreage
Autauga	3	551.0	612.0	5	326,500.0	65.0
Baldwin	30	27,643.0	27,732.0	22	1,000,077.0	130.0
Barbour	1	79.0	200.0	0	0.0	0.0
Bibb	0	0.0	0.0	2	59,315.0	17.0
Blount	3	317.8	397.8	2	120,377.0	28.0
Bullock	2	232.0	293.0	2	75,200.0	7.0
Butler	5	234.0	457.0	5	275,000.0	41.0
Calhoun	6	719.5	903.3	10	1,040,154.0	96.0
Chambers	5	1,373.8	1,573.8	12	2,842,729.0	414.0
Cherokee	2	76.0	169.0	0	0.0	0.0
Chilton	2	220.0	269.0	0	0.0	0.0
Choctaw	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Clarke	11	2,059.4	3,709.1	9	426,470.0	91.0
Clay	1	40.0	55.0	1	42,000.0	5.0
Cleburne	5	854.0	854.0	2	28,000.0	10.0
Coffee	3	300.0	372.0	4	133,600.0	19.0
Colbert	10	1,676.0	3,276.0	18	608,086.0	3,169.0
Conecuh	7	985.0	1,084.0	3	303,000.0	16.0
Coosa	1	250.0	327.0	3	79,744.0	27.0
Covington	0	0.0	0.0	2	81,002.0	15.0
Crenshaw	6	657.0	869.0	0	0.0	0.0
Cullman	13	571.2	1,082.0	5	143,217.0	14.0
Dale	4	562.5	657.5	6	270,863.0	53.0
Dallas	6	1,827.0	1,927.0	9	482,943.0	65.0
DeKalb	11	631.9	646.8	7	642,265.0	89.0
Elmore	4	365.8	545.8	4	74,700.0	12.0
Escambia	9	2,860.3	3,098.3	7	257,193.0	37.0
Etowah	5	1,146.6	1,482.0	4	618,614.0	83.0
Fayette	6	525.0	596.0	7	625,677.0	55.0
Franklin	6	841.0	899.0	3	69,500.0	26.0
Geneva	1	80.0	90.0	5	738,757.0	100.0
Greene	1	1,240.0	1,500.0	1	50,200.0	30.0

County	Industrial Sites			Industrial Buildings		
	Sites	Available Acreage	Total Acreage	Buildings	Space Available (sq. ft.)	Acreage
Hale	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Henry	7	1,319.0	1,415.0	3	579,000.0	69.0
Houston	6	920.0	1,307.0	7	453,996.0	66.0
Jackson	9	1,467.1	1,737.1	7	1,421,361.0	241.0
Jefferson	19	2,133.2	3,746.8	82	7,902,628.0	725.0
Lamar	4	610.4	668.8	4	300,021.0	37.0
Lauderdale	4	472.5	1,507.5	20	585,919.0	95.0
Lawrence	4	3,017.0	3,017.0	0	0.0	0.0
Lee	5	2,302.0	3,108.0	13	3,974,185.0	908.0
Limestone	20	9,540.5	9,923.5	7	996,410.0	120.0
Lowndes	0	0.0	0.0	1	182,000.0	28.0
Macon	1	400.0	500.0	0	0.0	0.0
Madison	21	1,437.4	7,302.4	18	1,300,878.0	338.0
Marengo	4	371.0	406.0	3	149,000.0	15.0
Marion	13	4,451.0	4,660.8	9	1,076,406.0	177.0
Marshall	8	1,336.6	1,484.7	11	716,186.0	196.0
Mobile	13	3,675.5	5,643.5	18	1,330,903.0	157.0
Monroe	4	139.0	209.0	5	469,951.0	60.0
Montgomery	15	31,353.2	43,915.1	25	4,388,235.0	413.0
Morgan	18	1,970.5	2,730.3	18	1,580,675.0	901.0
Perry	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Pickens	8	951.0	1,101.0	4	350,197.0	177.0
Pike	3	295.0	553.0	2	43,920.0	21.0
Randolph	4	180.0	529.0	4	86,086.0	40.0
Russell	4	676.0	1,106.0	6	1,711,503.0	245.0
St. Clair	7	1,034.0	1,528.0	4	186,595.0	60.0
Shelby	11	4,353.0	4,505.0	11	1,309,485.0	143.0
Sumter	2	126.0	126.0	0	0.0	0.0
Talladega	14	3,950.5	4,248.5	13	1,798,911.0	466.0
Tallapoosa	1	400.0	602.0	1	11,600.0	18.0
Tuscaloosa	12	1,475.9	2,215.9	12	760,700.0	432.0
Walker	3	520.0	956.0	3	151,948.0	33.0
Washington	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Wilcox	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Winston	1	818.0	818.0	9	667,728.0	101.0
Total	414	130,614.1	167,248.3	480	45,901,610	10,996.0

Source: Economic Development Partnership of Alabama, Advantage Alabama, www.edpa.org, March 2010.



INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: CONNECTING ALABAMA, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP OF ALABAMA

CEDS Indicators: Resources


Indicator:	Support Assets: Broadband Accessibility
Measure:	Level of Service
Baseline:	July, 2009
Data source:	Connecting Alabama www.connectingalabama.gov
Frequency of review:	Annual

Broadband Service Level by Region

	Number of Counties in Region	Majority Served	Moderately Served	Minimally Served	Not Served
Region 1	5	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%
Region 2	7	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
Region 3	6	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Region 4	10	0.0%	10.0%	60.0%	30.0%
Region 5	6	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%
Region 6	10	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%
Region 7	7	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	14.3%
Region 8	3	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Region 9	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Region 10	2	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Region 11	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Region 12	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%

Source: *Connecting Alabama, State Level Advertised Availability Map, July 2009, www.connectingalabama.gov.* Regional tabulations calculated by South Central Alabama Development Commission.

Note: The State Level Advertised Availability Map displays the served, unserved and underserved road segments. A served road segment has advertised speed at least 3Mbps. An underserved segment has advertised coverage ranging from 768kbps to 2,999 kbps. An unserved segment has coverage from 0 to 767 kbps. These are not firm definitions but categorizations designed to support NOFA analysis.



Source: *Connecting Alabama, State Level Advertised Availability Map, July 2009, www.connectingalabama.gov.* Regional tabulations calculated by South Central Alabama Development Commission.

Broadband Service Availability by County

County	Majority Served	Moderately Served	Minimally Served	Not Served
Autauga			X	
Baldwin			X	
Barbour			X	
Bibb			X	
Blount	X			
Bullock				X
Butler			X	
Calhoun			X	
Chambers			X	
Cherokee				X
Chilton			X	
Choctaw		X		
Clarke			X	
Clay				X
Cleburne				X
Coffee			X	
Colbert			X	
Conecuh			X	
Coosa			X	
Covington			X	
Crenshaw				X
Cullman	X			
Dale				X
Dallas			X	
DeKalb			X	
Elmore	X			
Escambia		X		
Etowah		X		
Fayette				X
Franklin			X	
Geneva			X	
Greene			X	
Hale			X	
Henry			X	

County	Majority Served	Moderately Served	Minimally Served	Not Served
Houston			X	
Jackson			X	
Jefferson	X			
Lamar		X		
Lauderdale		X		
Lawrence			X	
Lee	X			
Limestone		X		
Lowndes		X		
Macon			X	
Madison	X			
Marengo		X		
Marion			X	
Marshall		X		
Mobile		X		
Monroe		X		
Montgomery		X		
Morgan		X		
Perry			X	
Pickens			X	
Pike			X	
Randolph			X	
Russell			X	
St. Clair	X			
Shelby		X		
Sumter			X	
Talladega			X	
Tallapoosa			X	
Tuscaloosa		X		
Walker			X	
Washington		X		
Wilcox			X	
Winston			X	

Source: *Connecting Alabama, State Level Advertised Availability Map, July 2009, www.connectingalabama.gov.*

CEDS Indicators: Resources


Indicator:	Support Assets: Educational Institutions
Measure:	Institutions within 60 miles of county/region/state
Baseline:	Existing institutions
Data source:	Alabama Commission on Higher Education, “Institutions of Higher Education,” Online map, http://www.ache.alabama.gov/Colleges&Universities/InstitutionalMap.htm
Frequency of review:	Annual

Educational Institutions are vital resources for economic competitiveness. Innovation drives economic development and the pace of change in technology is increasing at a rapidly accelerating pace. Local economies are positioned to succeed in the global economy by possessing a highly trained, technically skilled workforce. Companies and research facilities look for leadership in education, research and development capacity, and technical training as prerequisites for investment in leading innovation sectors. Alabama’s institutions of higher education, including four year public and private universities, community colleges, and technical colleges are increasingly important assets for the state’s economic development.

Post-Secondary Educational Institutions by Region

	4-Year Public Institutions	Community Colleges	Technical Colleges	Non-Profit Independent Institutions
Region 1	1	3	0	0
Region 2	1	2	0	1
Region 3	2	5	0	4
Region 4	1	5	0	1
Region 5	1	1	0	1
Region 6	1	4	1	1
Region 7	1	5	0	0
Region 8	1	5	0	0
Region 9	3	0	2	3
Region 10	1	2	0	0
Region 11	0	1	0	0
Region 12	3	2	1	1
Total	16	35	4	12

Source: Alabama Commission on Higher Education, www.ache.alabama.gov. Regional tabulations calculated by South Central Alabama Development Commission.




Source: Alabama Commission on Higher Education, www.ache.alabama.gov. Regional tabulations calculated by South Central Alabama Development Commission.

Post-Secondary Educational Institutions by County

County	4-Year Public Institutions	Community Colleges	Technical Colleges	Non-Profit Independent Institutions
Autauga	0	0	0	0
Baldwin	0	2	0	0
Barbour	0	1	0	0
Bibb	0	0	0	0
Blount	0	0	0	0
Bullock	0	0	0	0
Butler	0	1	0	0
Calhoun	1	1	0	0
Chambers	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	0	0	0	0
Chilton	0	0	0	0
Choctaw	0	0	0	0
Clarke	0	1	0	0
Clay	0	0	0	0
Cleburne	0	0	0	0
Coffee	0	1	0	0
Colbert	0	1	0	0
Conecuh	0	0	1	0
Coosa	0	0	0	0
Covington	0	1	0	0
Crenshaw	0	0	0	0
Cullman	0	1	0	0
Dale	0	1	0	0
Dallas	0	1	0	0
DeKalb	0	1	0	0
Elmore	0	0	1	0
Escambia	0	2	0	0
Etowah	0	1	0	0
Fayette	0	1	0	0
Franklin	0	1	0	0
Geneva	0	1	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0
Hale	0	0	0	0
Henry	0	0	0	0

County	4-Year Public Institutions	Community Colleges	Technical Colleges	Non-Profit Independent Institutions
Houston	1	0	0	0
Jackson	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	1	3	0	4
Lamar	0	0	0	0
Lauderdale	1	0	0	0
Lawrence	0	0	0	0
Lee	1	1	0	0
Limestone	1	0	0	0
Lowndes	0	0	0	0
Macon	0	0	0	1
Madison	2	0	1	1
Marengo	0	0	0	0
Marion	0	1	0	0
Marshall	0	1	0	0
Mobile	1	1	0	0
Monroe	0	1	0	0
Montgomery	3	0	1	3
Morgan	0	0	0	0
Perry	0	1	0	1
Pickens	0	0	0	0
Pike	1	0	0	0
Randolph	0	1	0	0
Russell	0	1	0	0
St. Clair	0	0	0	0
Shelby	1	1	0	0
Sumter	1	0	0	0
Talladega	0	1	0	1
Tallapoosa	0	1	0	0
Tuscaloosa	1	1	0	1
Walker	0	1	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0
Wilcox	0	0	0	0
Winston	0	0	0	0
Total	16	35	4	12

Source: Alabama Commission on Higher Education, www.ache.alabama.gov.



SECONDARY INSTITUTIONS & BROAD BAND ACCESS

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: CONNECTING ALABAMA. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP OF ALABAMA. ALABAMA COMMISSION OF HIGHER EDUCATION

CEDS Indicators: Environment


Indicator:	Air Quality
Measure:	Status of county designation for non-attainment
Baseline:	Existing counties designated as non-attainment
Data source:	ALDOT/EPA
Frequency of review:	Annual

Air Quality changes frequently. Areas that have historical problems with air quality have daily forecasts to alert citizens as to the activities that may be safely undertaken or that might adversely further impact air quality. For the purpose of this effort, a more general and applicable indicator is the status of a county's designation of non-attainment. Besides giving a general and long term indication of an area's air quality, designation has regulatory implications as well.

Air Quality Nonattainment by Region

Region	Number of Nonattainment Counties	Number of Counties in Region	% Nonattainment Counties
Region 1	2	5	40.0%
Region 2	0	7	0.0%
Region 3	3	6	50.0%
Region 4	0	10	0.0%
Region 5	0	6	0.0%
Region 6	0	10	0.0%
Region 7	0	7	0.0%
Region 8	0	3	0.0%
Region 9	0	3	0.0%
Region 10	0	2	0.0%
Region 11	0	3	0.0%
Region 12	1	5	20.0%

Source: Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov



Source: Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov

Air Quality Nonattainment by County
(as of January 6, 2010)

County	Designation
Autauga	No
Baldwin	No
Barbour	No
Bibb	No
Blount	No
Bullock	No
Butler	No
Calhoun	No
Chambers	No
Cherokee	No
Chilton	No
Choctaw	No
Clarke	No
Clay	No
Cleburne	No
Coffee	No
Colbert	Partially
Conecuh	No
Coosa	No
Covington	No
Crenshaw	No
Cullman	No
Dale	No
Dallas	No
DeKalb	No
Elmore	No
Escambia	No
Etowah	No
Fayette	No
Franklin	No
Geneva	No
Greene	No
Hale	No
Henry	No

County	Designation
Houston	No
Jackson	Partially
Jefferson	Whole
Lamar	No
Lauderdale	Partially
Lawrence	No
Lee	No
Limestone	No
Lowndes	No
Macon	No
Madison	No
Marengo	No
Marion	No
Marshall	No
Mobile	No
Monroe	No
Montgomery	No
Morgan	No
Perry	No
Pickens	No
Pike	No
Randolph	No
Russell	No
St. Clair	No
Shelby	Whole
Sumter	No
Talladega	No
Tallapoosa	No
Tuscaloosa	No
Walker	Partially
Washington	No
Wilcox	No
Winston	No

Source: Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov

CEDS Indicators: Environment

Indicator:	Water Quality
Measure:	Streams on 303(d) list by county/region/state
Baseline:	Streams on the 2008 303(d) list
Data source:	Alabama Department of Environmental Management www.adem.state.al.us/WaterDivision/WQuality/303d/WQ303d.htm
Frequency of review:	Annual


Water Quality is one of the most reliable indicators of environment quality since so many activities on the land and in the air, as well as on the water, will ultimate have an impact on water quality. Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that each state identify those waters that do not currently support designated uses, and to establish a priority ranking of these waters by taking into account the severity of the pollution and the designated uses of such waters. ADEM maintains a list of streams on the 303(d) list by river basin and by county that indicates the intended uses of the streams and the causes for listing.

Impaired Water Bodies by Region, 2010

	Number of Impaired Water bodies	Impaired Stream Miles	Acreage of Impaired Open Water bodies
Region 1	16	42	11,780
Region 2	13	163	6,184
Region 3	37	424	16,686
Region 4	15	109	4,200
Region 5	22	514	6,495
Region 6	25	230	9,555
Region 7	18	639	1,739
Region 8	47	363	436,250
Region 9	7	99	0
Region 10	6	54	0
Region 11	8	242	0
Region 12	17	141	1,569
Total	231	3,021	494,458


Source: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Draft 2010 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies.

NOTE: The total mileage of impaired streams and the total acreage of impaired water bodies shown is higher than the actual impaired stream mileage and the actual acreage of impaired water bodies due to the repetition of some water bodies in two or more counties.




Source: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Draft 2010 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies.

NOTE: The total mileage of impaired streams and the total acreage of impaired water bodies shown is higher than the actual impaired stream mileage and the actual acreage of impaired water bodies due to the repetition of some water bodies in two or more counties.



Source: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Draft 2010 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies.

NOTE: The total mileage of impaired streams and the total acreage of impaired water bodies shown is higher than the actual impaired stream mileage and the actual acreage of impaired water bodies due to the repetition of some water bodies in two or more counties.



Source: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Draft 2010 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies.


NOTE: The total mileage of impaired streams and the total acreage of impaired water bodies shown is higher than the actual impaired stream mileage and the actual acreage of impaired water bodies due to the repetition of some water bodies in two or more counties.

Impaired Water Bodies by County, 2010

County	Number of Impaired Water bodies	Impaired Stream Miles	Impaired Open Water body Acreage	County	Number of Impaired Water bodies	Impaired Stream Miles	Impaired Open Water body Acreage
Autauga	2	35.58	0.00	Houston	3	14.24	0.00
Baldwin	26	217.94	198,412.80	Jackson	2	13.04	0.00
Barbour	3	184.46	1,739.13	Jefferson	13	141.15	0.00
Bibb	2	24.09	0.00	Lamar	0	0.00	0.00
Blount	8	124.46	0.00	Lauderdale	2	9.31	1,569.21
Bullock	2	179.60	0.00	Lawrence	1	7.78	0.00
Butler	1	9.23	0.00	Lee	4	33.96	0.00
Calhoun	0	0.00	0.00	Limestone	3	17.31	1,569.21
Chambers	0	0.00	0.00	Lowndes	0	0.00	0.00
Cherokee	3	20.51	0.00	Macon	6	79.66	0.00
Chilton	0	0.00	0.00	Madison	8	97.26	0.00
Choctaw	1	14.83	0.00	Marengo	1	11.42	0.00
Clarke	4	11.58	3,283.18	Marion	3	14.18	1,462.58
Clay	0	0.00	0.00	Marshall	4	13.57	0.00
Cleburne	1	30.78	0.00	Mobile	13	93.30	237,836.80
Coffee	4	176.63	0.00	Monroe	2	0.00	3,283.18
Colbert	2	15.14	0.00	Montgomery	5	63.38	0.00
Conecuh	1	14.48	0.00	Morgan	4	20.77	0.00
Coosa	8	17.69	3,954.14	Perry	2	19.09	0.00
Covington	1	26.45	0.00	Pickens	1	0.00	554.29
Crenshaw	11	85.12	6,494.58	Pike	2	160.53	0.00
Cullman	3	213.29	0.00	Randolph	1	30.78	0.00
Dale	2	26.46	0.00	Russell	2	20.29	0.00
Dallas	0	0.00	0.00	St. Clair	4	21.11	16,686.34
DeKalb	0	0.00	0.00	Shelby	3	43.94	0.00
Elmore	0	0.00	0.00	Sumter	1	1.86	0.00
Escambia	8	51.73	0.00	Talladega	0	0.00	0.00
Etowah	1	0.00	245.39	Tallapoosa	1	9.16	0.00
Fayette	2	54.66	0.00	Tuscaloosa	6	72.72	5,075.46
Franklin	4	3.83	3,551.17	Walker	9	93.15	0.00
Geneva	4	210.13	0.00	Washington	5	126.58	0.00
Greene	1	0.00	554.29	Wilcox	8	30.46	2,988.79
Hale	1	11.42	0.00	Winston	5	0.00	5,197.12
Henry	1	1.04	0.00	Total	231	3,021.13	494,457.66

Source: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Draft 2010 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies.

NOTE: The total mileage of impaired streams and the total acreage of impaired water bodies shown is higher than the actual impaired stream mileage and the actual acreage of impaired water bodies due to the repetition of some water bodies in two or more counties.



IMPAIRED STREAMS

ALABAMA ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL COUNCILS

DATA SOURCE: 2010 ADEM LIST OF IMPAIRED WATER BODIES, ALABAMA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMITTEE 2007 REPORT

CEDS Indicators: Environment

Indicator:	Land Cover and Stewardship
Measure:	Land area under protection
Baseline:	(tbd)
Data source:	Southeast GAP Analysis Project www.basic.ncsu.edu/segap/index.html www.gapservice.ncsu.edu/segap/segap/
Frequency of review:	Annual

Gap Analysis is a scientific means of assessing to what extent native animal and plant species are being protected. It can be done at a state, local, regional, or national level. According to the Southeast GAP Analysis Project:

“The Mission of the Southeast Gap Analysis Program (SE-GAP) is to provide data and assistance for regional assessments of the conservation status of native vertebrate species and natural land cover types and to facilitate the application of this information to land management activities.

This is accomplished through the following five objectives:

1. Mapping the land cover of the Southeast United States.
2. Mapping the predicted distributions of vertebrate species for the Southeast U.S.
3. Documenting the representation of vertebrate species and land cover types in areas managed for the long-term maintenance of biodiversity.
4. Providing this information to the public and those entities charged with land use research, policy, planning, and management.
5. Building institutional cooperation in the application of this information to state and regional management activities.”

This information source is still under review. Among the information is detailed land cover data as well as stewardship data that include ownership and management, and those lands that are legally protected. Preliminary review indicates that the stewardship data may particularly applicable to this project.

In the meantime, information on land use and land coverage is available from the Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Committee, 2007 Alabama Watershed Assessment which can be found at www.swcc.alabama.gov. This information is presented below.


Land Use and Land Coverage by Region, 2007

Region	Principle Row Crops	Other Crops	Pasture	Hay land	Forest	Urban	Water	Mined Land	Other
Region 1	6.2%	1.2%	5.5%	3.3%	64.7%	4.1%	3.1%	1.4%	10.5%
Region 2	1.1%	1.5%	3.4%	1.3%	73.7%	5.7%	1.0%	0.9%	11.4%
Region 3	0.5%	0.1%	2.5%	1.5%	65.6%	16.9%	0.8%	3.0%	9.2%
Region 4	1.6%	0.2%	4.3%	0.6%	74.1%	4.7%	2.5%	0.2%	11.9%
Region 5	1.4%	2.9%	7.2%	1.6%	75.7%	1.2%	1.0%	0.2%	8.9%
Region 6	1.3%	1.3%	3.2%	0.6%	84.5%	1.6%	0.9%	0.1%	6.5%
Region 7	9.1%	6.9%	5.3%	1.3%	65.7%	3.7%	1.3%	0.1%	6.6%
Region 8	3.1%	2.3%	1.9%	0.5%	59.2%	11.4%	15.2%	0.3%	6.0%
Region 9	2.0%	3.2%	12.4%	2.5%	56.3%	11.1%	1.0%	0.6%	10.9%
Region 10	1.2%	2.8%	2.3%	1.0%	73.8%	13.9%	1.6%	0.2%	3.1%
Region 11	6.7%	0.9%	25.4%	4.5%	46.8%	6.6%	1.8%	1.2%	6.0%
Region 12	11.0%	1.3%	10.1%	4.1%	44.8%	6.9%	5.3%	0.3%	16.3%
Alabama	3.4%	1.9%	5.6%	1.6%	68.7%	6.2%	2.9%	0.6%	9.1%

Source: *Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Committee, 2007 Alabama Watershed Assessment, www.swcc.alabama.gov.*

Note: In a comparison of the total area of each geographical unit as reported in the 2000 Census and the area surveyed in the 2007 Watershed Assessment, it was noted that there is less than 1 percent discrepancy for all regions except Region 8, where there is a 4.4 percent discrepancy. In a county by county comparison, there was less than 1 percent discrepancy for all but four counties. Those counties with more than 1 percent discrepancy were: Baldwin, 6.2 percent; Mobile, 4.5 percent; Shelby, 19.4 percent; and St. Clair, -23.8 percent.

Land Use and Land Cover, 2007



Land Use and Land Coverage by County, 2007

County	Principle Row Crops	Other Crops	Pasture	Hay land	Forest	Urban	Water	Mined Land	Other Land
Alabama	3.4%	1.9%	5.6%	1.6%	68.7%	6.2%	2.9%	0.6%	9.1%
Autauga	2.3%	1.7%	4.7%	2.1%	73.6%	4.5%	1.1%	0.0%	10.0%
Baldwin	3.3%	3.2%	2.5%	0.6%	56.3%	14.2%	17.9%	0.0%	2.0%
Barbour	2.2%	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%	75.9%	4.7%	2.3%	0.3%	6.0%
Bibb	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	85.9%	4.5%	0.2%	0.8%	7.3%
Blount	1.2%	0.4%	2.1%	1.4%	58.5%	4.6%	0.8%	2.5%	28.5%
Bullock	0.4%	2.5%	2.3%	0.4%	78.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	15.1%
Butler	0.4%	1.6%	7.4%	0.8%	83.0%	3.1%	0.5%	0.0%	3.1%
Calhoun	1.7%	0.8%	5.1%	0.5%	61.4%	14.8%	1.1%	0.6%	14.1%
Chambers	0.2%	0.2%	3.1%	0.7%	83.1%	5.8%	1.6%	0.0%	5.4%
Cherokee	7.2%	0.0%	2.3%	0.9%	60.0%	3.3%	8.9%	0.1%	17.3%
Chilton	0.3%	0.0%	2.2%	1.9%	91.4%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Choctaw	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	99.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Clarke	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	90.4%	2.0%	3.1%	0.0%	3.8%
Clay	0.0%	0.1%	8.3%	0.1%	78.8%	3.4%	0.6%	0.0%	8.8%
Cleburne	0.2%	0.0%	3.3%	1.1%	87.7%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	6.0%
Coffee	8.6%	2.8%	6.1%	1.4%	67.9%	3.4%	1.9%	0.0%	8.0%
Colbert	10.1%	3.0%	3.3%	0.6%	57.0%	3.2%	9.6%	0.2%	13.0%
Conecuh	1.2%	0.1%	1.5%	0.6%	84.6%	6.3%	0.5%	0.2%	5.0%
Coosa	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.1%	87.8%	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%	2.7%
Covington	3.7%	0.0%	4.9%	0.9%	75.4%	3.8%	1.4%	0.0%	9.9%
Crenshaw	1.4%	2.8%	3.8%	1.4%	82.3%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	7.0%
Cullman	1.6%	0.6%	29.6%	3.0%	48.0%	7.7%	2.6%	3.1%	3.7%
Dale	9.5%	4.6%	4.6%	1.3%	68.9%	5.2%	0.6%	0.1%	5.2%
Dallas	3.5%	9.8%	9.6%	1.1%	66.3%	2.1%	1.4%	0.1%	6.0%
DeKalb	4.2%	0.0%	6.9%	0.8%	47.3%	3.0%	0.5%	0.4%	37.0%
Elmore	3.1%	0.0%	2.9%	0.7%	63.7%	6.8%	1.7%	0.0%	21.2%
Escambia	6.0%	1.9%	0.5%	1.0%	75.4%	3.6%	1.0%	1.2%	9.4%
Etowah	2.2%	0.0%	1.8%	0.4%	65.1%	4.4%	0.7%	0.9%	24.6%
Fayette	1.3%	3.4%	0.8%	1.2%	82.9%	2.7%	0.0%	0.3%	7.3%
Franklin	1.5%	1.2%	2.7%	0.1%	74.0%	2.9%	3.0%	1.3%	13.2%
Geneva	14.2%	18.4%	5.6%	1.5%	54.5%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	2.7%
Greene	0.4%	5.6%	10.7%	2.4%	67.3%	2.0%	1.6%	0.0%	10.1%
Hale	1.1%	0.0%	6.7%	2.9%	45.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	39.5%
Henry	12.8%	11.4%	4.3%	1.0%	61.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.2%	7.2%

County	Principle Row Crops	Other Crops	Pasture	Hayland	Forest	Urban	Water	Mined Land	Other Land
Houston	21.5%	16.9%	8.1%	1.6%	42.2%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Jackson	6.6%	1.3%	11.2%	1.8%	64.2%	5.3%	6.0%	0.8%	2.9%
Jefferson	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.7%	48.7%	41.7%	0.8%	4.3%	2.9%
Lamar	1.0%	3.4%	3.7%	2.3%	81.9%	1.4%	1.0%	0.3%	5.0%
Lauderdale	17.6%	0.7%	18.7%	14.2%	39.8%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Lawrence	15.1%	1.9%	12.9%	1.9%	46.5%	7.7%	1.1%	0.0%	12.9%
Lee	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.4%	69.6%	21.5%	1.9%	0.1%	4.1%
Limestone	30.4%	0.0%	28.1%	10.7%	19.5%	7.5%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Lowndes	1.0%	3.5%	20.2%	4.2%	65.5%	1.2%	2.6%	0.0%	1.7%
Macon	1.7%	7.5%	0.6%	0.2%	78.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	10.3%
Madison	15.6%	2.1%	3.1%	5.4%	34.6%	12.4%	0.9%	0.1%	25.7%
Marengo	0.4%	0.1%	5.0%	0.6%	93.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%
Marion	1.4%	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%	77.0%	4.8%	0.8%	2.4%	10.7%
Marshall	2.4%	3.1%	3.8%	4.0%	44.8%	6.7%	16.8%	0.0%	18.5%
Mobile	1.3%	1.5%	2.2%	0.1%	52.9%	12.7%	20.5%	0.0%	8.8%
Monroe	4.4%	1.7%	2.0%	0.2%	80.6%	2.2%	0.2%	0.2%	8.7%
Montgomery	0.8%	7.0%	26.0%	4.4%	37.2%	19.8%	0.3%	1.4%	3.1%
Morgan	3.1%	0.0%	35.2%	9.6%	45.6%	4.0%	1.8%	0.3%	0.4%
Perry	2.0%	0.1%	6.2%	1.6%	65.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%
Pickens	2.6%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	78.8%	4.1%	1.4%	0.0%	10.1%
Pike	3.3%	0.1%	7.0%	2.0%	66.9%	1.5%	0.8%	0.5%	17.8%
Randolph	0.1%	0.5%	1.6%	0.3%	71.2%	3.5%	1.9%	0.1%	20.9%
Russell	1.9%	5.4%	2.7%	1.5%	77.9%	6.6%	1.3%	0.3%	2.2%
St. Clair	1.4%	0.2%	2.6%	1.3%	67.0%	17.6%	0.1%	0.9%	8.7%
Shelby	0.0%	0.2%	5.8%	2.8%	68.2%	17.7%	2.8%	0.1%	2.3%
Sumter	0.2%	0.0%	4.6%	0.4%	91.3%	0.7%	1.9%	0.1%	0.8%
Talladega	3.6%	0.0%	2.3%	1.1%	67.3%	4.7%	0.1%	0.4%	20.6%
Tallapoosa	0.9%	0.2%	7.4%	0.6%	77.9%	5.3%	6.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Tuscaloosa	0.8%	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%	73.9%	12.9%	1.8%	3.1%	5.8%
Walker	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.6%	68.7%	1.8%	0.4%	8.6%	16.3%
Washington	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	87.7%	1.8%	0.2%	0.0%	9.1%
Wilcox	0.7%	0.0%	4.4%	1.0%	80.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	11.4%
Winston	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	76.7%	1.9%	2.8%	3.1%	14.9%

Source: Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Committee, 2007 Alabama Watershed Assessment, www.swcc.alabama.gov.

Note: In a comparison of the total area of each geographical unit as reported in the 2000 Census and the area surveyed in the 2007 Watershed Assessment, it was noted that there is less than 1 percent discrepancy for all regions except Region 8, where there is a 4.4 percent discrepancy. In a county by county comparison, there was less than 1 percent discrepancy for all but four counties. Those counties with more than 1 percent discrepancy were: Baldwin, 6.2 percent; Mobile, 4.5 percent; Shelby, 19.4 percent; and St. Clair, -23.8 percent.